

# Structural Biology of Shared Cytokine Receptors

Xinquan Wang, Patrick Lupardus,  
Sherry L. LaPorte, and K. Christopher Garcia

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Departments of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, and Structural Biology, Stanford, California 94305; email: wxq@stanford.edu, lupardus@stanford.edu, laporte@stanford.edu, kcgarci@stanford.edu

Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2009. 27:29–60

First published online as a Review in Advance on September 25, 2008

The *Annual Review of Immunology* is online at [immunol.annualreviews.org](http://immunol.annualreviews.org)

This article's doi:  
10.1146/annurev.immunol.24.021605.090616

Copyright © 2009 by Annual Reviews.  
All rights reserved

0732-0582/09/0423-0029\$20.00

## Key Words

interleukin, signaling, structure

## Abstract

Recent structural information for complexes of cytokine receptor ectodomains bound to their ligands has significantly expanded our understanding of the macromolecular topology and ligand recognition mechanisms used by our three principal shared cytokine signaling receptors—gp130,  $\gamma_c$ , and  $\beta_c$ . The gp130 family receptors intricately coordinate three structurally unique cytokine-binding sites on their four-helix bundle cytokine ligands to assemble multimeric signaling complexes. These organizing principles serve as topological blueprints for the entire gp130 family of cytokines. Novel structures of  $\gamma_c$  and  $\beta_c$  complexes show us new twists, such as the use of a nonstandard sushi-type  $\alpha$  receptors for IL-2 and IL-15 in assembling quaternary  $\gamma_c$  signaling complexes and an antiparallel interlocked dimer in the GM-CSF signaling complex with  $\beta_c$ . Unlike gp130, which appears to recognize vastly different cytokine surfaces in chemically unique fashions for each ligand, the  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines appear to seek out some semblance of a knobs-in-holes shape recognition code in order to engage  $\gamma_c$  in related fashions. We discuss the structural similarities and differences between these three shared cytokine receptors, as well as the implications for transmembrane signaling.

## INTRODUCTION

The interaction of cell surface receptor extracellular domains with secreted ligands is essential to most types of cell signaling and cell-cell communication. This initial step and the subsequent activation of membrane-proximal and -distal intracellular signaling cascades lead to specific, although often redundant, cellular responses that control cell proliferation, differentiation, maturation, and survival. Cell surface receptors usually bind their ligands through highly specific molecular interactions to provide the tight regulation necessary for control of physiological responses. However, researchers increasingly appreciate that many receptor systems exhibit, to a greater or lesser extent, cross-reactivity with a spectrum of different ligands. There are many examples of polyspecific, shared receptors with central roles in signaling (1). In neurobiology, the p75 neurotrophin receptor can recognize a family of neurotrophic factors, including nerve growth factor, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, neurotrophin-3, and neurotrophin-4/5 (2). The glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor family ligands, which include glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor, neurturin, artemin, and persephin, share the RET receptor as the signaling subunit in their receptor complexes (3). In the immune system, shared receptors exist in both adaptive (T cell receptors, costimulatory molecules B7/CD28) (4) and innate immunity (NKG2D natural killer receptor, scavenger, and pattern-recognition receptors such as RAGE and Toll) (5). However, the most widespread roles for shared receptors are found for cytokines (6–9), which are secreted growth factors that control cell growth and proliferation primarily in the immune and hematopoietic systems. There are three major shared receptors in the class I cytokine receptor family: the common gamma chain ( $\gamma_c$ ), gp130, and the common beta chain ( $\beta_c$ ), which participate in the formation of receptor complexes for nearly 20 different cytokines (**Figure 1**).


Important insights into the mechanisms for cross-reactivity of shared cytokine receptors

have emerged from structural studies of complexes between cytokines and extracellular domains of their receptors (10). During the past several years, crystal structures have been determined for gp130,  $\gamma_c$ , and  $\beta_c$  complexes with cytokines, including gp130 bound to human herpes virus (HHV)-8 IL-6 (11), IL-6 (12), and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (13); LIF complexed with LIF receptor (14);  $\gamma_c$  bound to IL-2 (15, 16) and IL-4 (17); and more recently  $\beta_c$  bound to GM-CSF (18). Gp130,  $\gamma_c$ , and  $\beta_c$  share a rudimentary core structural blueprint for the assembly of the extracellular cytokine-receptor signaling complexes; however, there are many important deviations between these three systems that result in substantially different signaling complex topologies. Collectively, these structures allow us to delineate common and unique structural features for both ligand recognition and assembly of signaling complexes by these three major shared cytokine receptors in the class I family, which is the focus of this review.

Owing to space constraints, we could not cite all contributors to this field. We refer the reader to excellent treatises on various aspects of cytokine structure, receptor interaction, and signaling; see References 10, 19–35.

## THE CLASS I CYTOKINE RECEPTORS

Cytokines represent a diverse group of small soluble proteins that when secreted by one cell can act on the same cell, in an autocrine fashion, or on another cell, in a paracrine fashion (36). Through binding to specific cell surface receptors, they initiate signals that are critical to a diverse spectrum of functions, including induction of immune responses, cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Structural analysis has allowed the grouping of cytokines into different structural classes, including the helical cytokines (37), the trimeric tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family (38), the cysteine knot growth factors (39), and the  $\beta$ -trefoil growth factors (40). Cytokines can also be classified according to the type of receptor that they engage.



**Figure 1**

Diversity of shared cytokine-receptor interactions. Shared cytokine receptors  $\gamma_c$  (a), gp130 (b), and  $\beta_c$  (c) are represented schematically on a cell membrane. The respective interacting cytokines with known three-dimensional structures are shown with cylinder representations of the four-helix bundles. (Abbreviations: LIF, leukemia inhibitory factor; OSM, oncostatin-M; CNTF, ciliary neurotrophic factor; CLC, cardiotrophin-like cytokine; CHR, cytokine-binding homology region; HHV-8, human herpes virus; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor.)

On the basis of common structural features, the cytokine receptors are grouped into six major families: class I cytokine receptors, class II cytokine receptors, TNF receptors, IL-1 receptors, tyrosine kinase receptors, and chemokine receptors (36, 41, 42).

The class I cytokine receptors, also known as the hematopoietin receptors, constitute the largest group among the cytokine receptor family (41, 43, 44). These are type I membrane proteins with an N-terminal extracellular and C-terminal intracellular orientation. The extracellular segments of the class I cytokine receptors show a modular architecture, which is characterized by a ~200 residue-long cytokine-binding homology region (CHR) (45) possessing the classical binding motif for cytokines, as structurally delineated in the human growth hormone (hGH) receptor complex (46). The CHR module consists of two fibronectin type-III (FNIII) domains connected by a linker, and it represents the signature recognition module for helical cytokines that is present on every type I cytokine receptor (**Figure 1**). The upper, N-terminal domain contains four (47) con-

served cysteine residues that form interstrand disulfide bonds. The lower, C-terminal domain has a conserved Trp-Ser-X-Trp-Ser motif (45, 46). Mutagenesis studies have shown an essential structural role for these amino acids in maintaining the tertiary structure of the protein, but they are not involved in cytokine interaction (48). These signature sequence and structural features have been used to identify novel cytokine receptors in several genomes (49–51). The cytokine-binding site for most CHR modules is at the apex of the elbow region, consisting mainly of the interstrand loops connecting the  $\beta$ -strands from both N- and C-terminal domains (46). The basic CHR module is present in every class I cytokine receptor, and for some, such as the receptors for hGH (46, 52) and erythropoietin (EPO), a single CHR is sufficient to mediate ligand binding and receptor homodimerization. However, many other class I cytokine receptors require additional domains, such as the Ig-like domain and additional membrane-proximal fibronectin domains, found in the gp130 family, to function and respond to cytokines (11, 12). The  $\alpha$

receptors of IL-2 and IL-15 of the  $\gamma_c$  family are atypical cytokine receptors in that they do not contain a CHR, but rather sushi domains (discussed below) (53, 54).

The defining structural feature of the cytokines recognized by the class I cytokine receptors is a four-helix bundle motif (43, 55), which is composed of four amphipathic helices having solvent-facing hydrophilic sides and hydrophobic sides that form the core of the helical bundle. These four helices are oriented into a unique up-up-down-down topology that is only found in the helical cytokines (43, 55). Structural predictions, later confirmed by several crystal and NMR structures, indicated that these cytokines could be further subclassified on the basis of the length of the helices (19, 37). Short-chain cytokines, represented by IL-2 and IL-4, have helices of 8–10 residues. The long-chain cytokines, such as gp130 family cytokines, hGH, and EPO, have helices of 10–20 residues. Finally, some cytokines, such as IL-5 and interferon (IFN)- $\gamma$ , have two four-helix bundles forming an eight-helix architecture (55–57).

Cytokine binding induces receptor oligomerization that leads to the juxtaposition of the intracellular domains of the signaling subunits. Unlike receptors for many growth factors (e.g., insulin, epidermal growth factor) that have intracellular domains possessing tyrosine kinase activity on the same polypeptide chain, the class I cytokine receptors have no intrinsic enzymatic activity. Rather, the intracellular domains of the class I cytokine receptors are constitutively associated with tyrosine kinases of the Janus kinase (JAK) family, and to a more restricted degree the TYK kinase (26, 31, 32, 34). After the JAK/TYK kinases are activated by ligand-induced receptor oligomerization, they phosphorylate themselves and the intracellular domains of the receptors. The phosphorylated tyrosine residues in the receptors then serve as the docking sites for a second family of proteins, the signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins. Binding of STATs to the intracellular domains of the receptors leads to their tyrosine phosphorylation and subsequent dissociation from

the receptors. The phosphorylated STATs form dimers and translocate into the nucleus, where they bind to DNA recognition sequences and act as transcription factors (33, 34, 58). Besides the major JAK-STAT signaling pathway, the class I cytokine receptors also use other signaling mechanisms such as the RAS-RAF-MAP kinase pathway (59), PI3 kinase (60), and insulin receptor substrate (61). The structural aspects of JAK-STAT communication during receptor signaling are poorly understood and represent a major future frontier in cytokine receptor structural biology.


### SHARED RECEPTORS IN THE CLASS I CYTOKINE RECEPTOR FAMILY

Although the original structural paradigm for cytokine receptor complexes was derived from the homodimeric hGH system (21, 46), most of the class I cytokine receptors do not signal through homodimerization (**Figure 2**). In fact, most form heterodimers (e.g., IL-4, IL-7, etc.) (17), and some even form heterotrimers (IL-2 and IL-15 receptors) (15, 16), tetramers (viral IL-6/gp130, G-CSF/G-CSFR) (11, 62), hexamers (human IL-6/IL-6R $\alpha$ /gp130) (12), and even dodecamers (GM-CSF/GM-CSFR $\alpha$ / $\beta_c$ ) (18). A significant feature of these heterooligomeric receptor complexes is the use of a common, shared receptor subunit as a signal-transducing chain together with a cytokine-specific chain. When subgrouped by shared receptors, there are three major classes of heteroreceptor complexes in the class I cytokine receptor family: those that use  $\beta_c$ , those that use gp130, and those that use  $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 2**). In most cases, the shared receptors do not show appreciable affinity for cytokines, but in the presence of cytokine-specific  $\alpha$  receptors they can form high-affinity cytokine receptor complexes that are capable of initiating intracellular signaling cascades. Such a characteristic affinity-conversion effect is used by shared receptors as a means of imposing tissue specificity (8). Shared receptors also must recognize different cytokines that have relatively low

sequence identities, requiring that they be poly-specific for different ligand surface structures and chemistries, yet specific enough not to cross-react with inappropriate cytokines. In this respect, the shared receptors may teach us much about the basic structural and chemical mechanisms of protein-protein cross-reactivity.

## GP130

Gp130 is the founding member of the tall cytokine receptors and is the common signal-transducing receptor component for the gp130, or IL-6/IL-12, family of cytokines (**Figure 1b** and **Figure 2b**) that exhibit highly pleiotropic biological activities (23, 63). There are currently ten members in the gp130 family of cytokines: IL-6, IL-11, LIF, ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), oncostatin M (OSM), cardiotrophin 1 (CT-1), NNT-1/BSF3 [also known as cardiotrophin-like cytokine (CLC)], and IL-27. There are two viral homologs of IL-6, one from HHV-8 IL-6 and another from the Rhesus macaque rhadinovirus (Rm IL-6) (**Figure 1b**). Except for the viral IL-6 homologs that bind directly to gp130 alone (11, 64), the signaling functions of gp130 cytokines are mediated through a set of receptor complexes that are formed by combining gp130 with other receptors (**Figure 2b**) (63). The association of gp130 with cytokine-specific, nonsignaling receptor IL-6R $\alpha$  (65) or IL-11R $\alpha$  executes the activities of IL-6 or IL-11, respectively. Other signaling receptors such as LIF receptor (LIFR) and OSM receptor (OSMR) can also participate in signaling complexes with gp130. The nonsignaling CNTF  $\alpha$  receptor (CNTFR $\alpha$ ) can recognize the cytokines CNTF, CT-1, and CLC as part of a quaternary signaling complex with gp130 and LIFR (66–68). Thus, CNTFR $\alpha$  exhibits the ability to bind three different cytokines, which is a rare example of degeneracy by an  $\alpha$  receptor. Gp130 can also engage the recently identified heterodimeric cytokine IL-27 (p28/EBI3) in conjunction with the signaling receptor TCCR (T cell cytokine receptor, also known as WSX-1) (69). A major distinguishing feature of gp130 cytokines is that they possess



**Figure 2**

Diversity of shared receptor-receptor interactions. Shared cytokine receptors  $\beta_c$  (a), gp130 (b), and  $\gamma_c$  (c) and their various receptor partners are depicted. These complexes are formed by the combination of ligand-specific  $\alpha$  and/or  $\beta$  receptors with shared cytokine receptors. (Abbreviations: TCCR, T cell cytokine receptor; TSLPR, thymic stromal-derived lymphopoietin receptor.)

the unique site III receptor-binding site at the tip of the cytokine that is necessary for gp130 activation (12, 70, 71).


The extracellular part of gp130 is composed of six contiguous  $\beta$ -sandwich domains with a single Ig domain at the top (D1), followed by one CHR module (D2 and D3), and three fibronectin III-like domains (D4–D6) leading to the cell membrane (**Figure 1b**). Both the CHR and Ig domains are necessary for full activation. There are three known crystal structures of complexes involving gp130: the IL-6/IL-6R $\alpha$ /gp130-D1D2D3 hexamer (12), the HHV-8 IL-6/gp130-D1D2D3 tetramer (11), and LIF/gp130-D2D3 (13). In addition, there are two low-resolution, electron microscopic (EM) three-dimensional reconstructions of the entire extracellular complexes of IL-6 (72) and IL-11 (73). The crystal structures established that gp130 cytokines use the canonical sites I and II to engage the elbow regions of the  $\alpha$  receptor and gp130 CHR, respectively. Site III in the cytokine engages the Ig domain of gp130 so that each gp130 contacts two different cytokines in an antiparallel fashion (**Figure 3a**). This basic assembly template is used by all gp130 cytokine receptor family members, including the nonshared members of the tall receptor family such as G-CSF, leptin, and OSMR. Originally, G-CSF was crystallized with only the CHR of its receptor (74). Subsequently, a mutational study of G-CSF based on the viral IL-6/gp130 complex structure (which revealed the first site III) determined that G-CSF contains a site III (75). Recently, the full complex of the G-CSFR Ig domain plus CHR has been solved with G-CSF (62), and this complex is almost identical in structure to that of the viral IL-6/gp130 complex and other gp130 complexes in the use of site III (11, 12).

Several recent advances have established the site III paradigm for heterodimeric gp130/LIFR signaling complexes. The 4.0 Å structure of the D1–D5 domains of LIFR in complex with LIF confirmed that LIFR uses a high-affinity site III interaction in which the interhelical loops at one tip of LIF engage the D3 Ig domain of LIFR in an almost orthogo-

nal orientation (**Figure 3b**) (14). Binding measurements have also confirmed that LIFR and CNTF alone interact via a high-affinity site III that is presumably analogous to that of the LIF/LIFR interaction. A recent single-particle EM analysis of full-length gp130 and LIFR in complex with CNTFR $\alpha$  and CNTF (76) has confirmed the architecture of the quaternary gp130/LIFR/CNTFR $\alpha$ /CNTF complex proposed in Boulanger et al. (13) and for the trimeric LIF/LIFR/gp130 complex modeled in Huyton et al. (14) (**Figure 3c**). The structure of an intact gp130/LIFR heterodimeric complex is an important milestone for research on this class of receptors, as there has been some controversy about the functionally active domains of LIFR involved in cytokine-mediated complex formation with gp130.

With the basic site II/III architecture of the heterodimeric gp130/LIFR signaling complex predicted from a variety of structural and biochemical data, it is now clear that other gp130-related heterodimeric cytokines such as IL-12 (p35/p40) (77), IL-23 (p19/p40) (78), and IL-27 (p28/EBI3) (79) also engage their cognate receptors in some variation of this basic organizing principle (69, 80, 81). Interestingly, in the case of these heterodimeric cytokines (which consist of a four-helix bundle cytokine in complex with a soluble  $\alpha$  receptor), the gp130-like receptors IL-12R $\beta$ 1 (IL-12 and -23) and TCCR/WSX-1 (IL-27) lack an N-terminal Ig-like domain and hence engage site II via their CHR domains. Site III is then free to interact with the second signaling receptor IL-12R $\beta$ 2, IL-23R, or gp130, respectively, all of which contain the top-mounted Ig domain required for site III interaction. In this fashion, the presence of the Ig domain serves as a structural beacon for the receptor that engages in site III interaction. IL-12 and IL-23 also represent unique examples of two cytokines sharing both an  $\alpha$  receptor (p40) and a signaling receptor (IL-12R $\beta$ 1), while gaining specificity by using different site III receptors (IL-12R $\beta$ 2 and IL-23R).

An additional characteristic feature of the gp130 family receptors is that that they are



**Figure 3**

Structures of human IL-6/IL-6R $\alpha$ /gp130 hexameric complex (*a*), mouse LIFR in complex with human LIF (*b*), and human CNTF/CNTFR $\alpha$ /LIFR/gp130 (*c*) assembled from known crystallographic, biochemical, and electron microscopic data. In (*a*) the model shown was derived from the crystal structure of the IL-6 hexamer headpiece (12) together with the single-particle reconstruction of the entire extracellular complex (72). IL-6 signaling is mediated through homodimerization of gp130 in a symmetric hexameric arrangement with a nonsignaling IL-6R $\alpha$  receptor. In (*b*) the model shown derives from the 4 Å crystal structure of the LIF/LIFR complex (14) missing the membrane-proximal domains that are depicted as cartoons. In (*c*), the quaternary LIFR/gp130/CNTF/CNTFR $\alpha$  complex is derived from a combination of the crystal structures of LIF/gp130 (13), CNTF (163), and a single-particle reconstruction of the entire quaternary complex (76). CNTF signals through the asymmetric heterodimerization of gp130 and LIFR and the nonsignaling CNTFR $\alpha$  receptor. In panel (*d*), the assembly pathway for IL-6 signaling is depicted as elucidated from References 12, 164. IL-6 first engages IL-6R $\alpha$  through a site I interaction to form a composite interface (site II) that recruits gp130. This trimeric structure can then engage a second trimer through two site III interfaces to form a productive signaling complex.

taller than other cytokine receptors, by virtue of three additional membrane-proximal domains. A three-dimensional reconstruction of negatively stained six-domain gp130 complexed with IL-6 and the IL-6R $\alpha$  receptor indicated that the gp130 membrane-proximal legs are bent back toward one another through the flexing of a hinge between the D3 and D4 domains (73). This leg closure has also been observed in a cryoelectron microscopic analysis of the hexameric gp130/IL-11/IL-11R $\alpha$  complex (74). Thus, although the FNIII leg domains have retained conformational flexibility to allow for the close apposition of the intracellular domains required for intracellular signaling, it remains unclear whether the unliganded gp130 exists in this bent conformation or if the engagement of the shorter cytokine/R $\alpha$  binary complex forces gp130 to bend in order to accommodate the height differences.


### THE COMMON BETA CHAIN: $\beta_c$

$\beta_c$  is a type I transmembrane protein that serves as a shared signaling subunit for the receptors of IL-3, IL-5, and GM-CSF (**Figure 1c** and **Figure 2a**), which are related cytokines involved in the regulation of hematopoiesis and inflammation (82–84). Although  $\beta_c$  does not measurably bind any of the ligands alone, its coexpression with cytokine-specific  $\alpha$  receptors enhances the affinity of cytokine binding. The activated receptor complex, consisting of the cytokine ligand plus the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta_c$  receptors, initiates the intracellular signaling pathway mainly through JAK2 associated with the cytoplasmic domain of  $\beta_c$  receptors (85). The extracellular part of  $\beta_c$  has four fibronectin domains, forming two contiguous CHR modules (**Figure 1c**), with features conserved among the class I cytokine receptors. The crystal structure of the unliganded extracellular domain of  $\beta_c$  shows it to exist as an unusual, intertwined, strand-swapped, antiparallel homodimer (86). This structure, together with mutagenesis studies (87), led to the proposition that the possible cytokine-binding site is composed of D1 of one chain and D4 of another chain in the  $\beta_c$  homo-

dimer that join in an antiparallel fashion. Excitingly, the recent crystal structure of the  $\beta_c$  in complex with the GM-CSF $\alpha$  receptor (GMR $\alpha$ ) and GM-CSF confirms that  $\beta_c$  engages the cytokine via a composite D1/D4 interface similar to site II in gp130 and  $\gamma_c$ , while the accessory receptor GMR $\alpha$  engages the cytokine via a site I–like interface (**Figure 4**) (18). It has been reported that GMR $\alpha$  does not engage a JAK kinase (85), leaving the JAK2-bound  $\beta_c$  as the sole carrier of the signal-transducing kinase. The asymmetric unit of the  $\beta_c$ /GMR $\alpha$ /GM-CSF complex consists of a 2:2:2 hexamer with the C termini of  $\beta_c \sim 140$  Å apart, therefore making it hard to reconcile how JAK kinases bound to  $\beta_c$  subunits of a single  $\beta_c$  dimer could be activated. Importantly, crystallographic contacts between  $\beta_c$  D4 domains of two separate  $\beta_c$ /GMR $\alpha$ /GM-CSF hexamers suggested  $\beta_c$  signaling may be mediated by two hexamers dimerized into a dodecameric structure (18). Site-directed mutagenesis of this interface abrogated GM-CSF-induced signaling; thus, it appears that a second  $\beta_c$  dimer in complex with GMR $\alpha$  and GM-CSF is necessary to complete the active signaling unit. These studies clarify what turns out to be a highly interesting deviation from the typical cytokine receptor signaling paradigm and can likely be extrapolated to explain the activation mechanisms of the other  $\beta_c$ -family cytokines IL-3 and IL-5.

### THE COMMON GAMMA CHAIN: $\gamma_c$

$\gamma_c$  serves as a shared signaling receptor for IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, IL-15, and IL-21 (**Figure 1a** and **Figure 2c**) (8). The biological importance of  $\gamma_c$  is illustrated by the fact that mutations in either  $\gamma_c$  or the associated JAK3 kinase can abolish the function of all  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines and cause X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency diseases (X-SCID) (88, 89). One interesting twist in the  $\gamma_c$  family is that the IL-2 receptor (IL-2R) and IL-15R signaling complexes are heterotrimers composed of structurally unique  $\alpha$  subunits and shared IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  subunits (**Figure 2c**). This is in



**Figure 4**

The 2:2:2 GM-CSF/GMR $\alpha$ / $\beta_c$  complex viewed from the side (*a*) and from the top (*b*) (18). GMR $\alpha$  engages the cytokine GM-CSF via a canonical site I interaction, whereas the  $\beta_c$  receptor engages site II on GM-CSF by using a composite cytokine-binding homology region (CHR) interface generated by domain 1 (D1) of one  $\beta_c$  subunit and domain 4 (D4) of the second  $\beta_c$ .

contrast to type I IL-4R, IL-7R, IL-9R, and IL-21R, which heterodimerize cytokine-specific  $\alpha$  subunits and  $\gamma_c$  (Figure 2c). Another interesting twist in the  $\gamma_c$  family is limited sharing of several  $\alpha$  receptors including IL-2R $\beta$ , IL-4R $\alpha$ , and IL-7R $\alpha$  to recognize different cytokines as well as different receptors (Figure 2c). IL-2R $\beta$  serves as a receptor for both IL-2 and IL-15. IL-4R $\alpha$  heterodimerizes with  $\gamma_c$  to form type I IL-4R, and with IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 to form type II IL-4R. Type II IL-4R is also the functional recep-

tor of IL-13 (discussed below) (25, 90, 91). IL-7R $\alpha$  can also form a receptor heterodimer with TSLPR (thymic stromal-derived lymphopoeitin receptor) to recognize TSLP (92, 93).

### STRUCTURAL STUDIES OF CYTOKINE-RECEPTOR COMPLEXES IN IL-2 AND IL-4/IL-13 SYSTEMS

The cytokine IL-2 is a prototypical short-chain cytokine and has pleiotropic actions in the

immune system (28, 94, 95). Produced mainly by activated T cells, IL-2 promotes the proliferation, differentiation, and survival of mature T and B cells and the cytolytic activity of natural killer (NK) cells (95). There are three receptor chains for IL-2: IL-2R $\alpha$ , IL-2R $\beta$ , and  $\gamma_c$ , which form three different receptor complexes on different target cells. Isolated IL-2R $\alpha$  has been termed the low-affinity IL-2 receptor ( $K_d \approx 10$  nM) and is not currently believed to be involved in signal transduction (96). IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  form the intermediate-affinity complex ( $K_d \approx 1$  nM) expressed on NK cells, macrophages, and resting T cells (95), although IL-2R $\beta$  alone has very low affinity ( $K_d \approx 100$  nM) and  $\gamma_c$  alone has no detectable binding affinity for IL-2 (97, 98). The heterodimerization of IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  in the presence of IL-2 is necessary and sufficient for effective signaling through the activation of JAK1 and JAK3 kinases associated with the intracellular domains of the IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$ , respectively (99, 100). A complex with three subunits, IL-2R $\alpha$ , IL-2R $\beta$ , and  $\gamma_c$ , is the high-affinity complex ( $K_d \approx 10$  pM) for IL-2 and is the receptor form found on activated T cells (101). The high-affinity receptor complex mediates most biological effects of IL-2 *in vivo* (102). Prior to structural analysis, the Ciardelli group published a series of elegant papers measuring the various rate constants and affinities for soluble forms of the different compositions of complexes (103–107). A similar analysis of the soluble complex assembly has also been conducted using thermodynamic measurements instead of kinetic studies (97). Therefore, the IL-2 receptor system has been one of the most rigorously characterized receptor systems using both cellular and biochemical approaches.

IL-4 is another principal regulatory cytokine during the immune response and is crucially important in allergy and asthma (90). Once resting T cells are antigen activated and expand in response to IL-2, the fate decision of Th1 versus Th2 is influenced by IL-4. Th2 cells secrete IL-4, which both stimulates Th2 in an autocrine fashion and acts as a potent B cell growth factor to promote humoral immunity (90). There

are two types of receptor complexes for IL-4 (**Figure 2c**) (61, 91). Type I IL-4R is predominantly expressed on the surface of hematopoietic cells and consists of IL-4R $\alpha$  and  $\gamma_c$ . Type II IL-4R consists of IL-4R $\alpha$  and IL-13R $\alpha 1$  and is predominantly expressed on the surface of non-hematopoietic cells, and this receptor complex is also the functional receptor of IL-13 (108–110).


The crystal structures of the high-affinity IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  quaternary complex and IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$ , IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha 1$ , and IL-13/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha 1$  ternary complexes have all been determined (**Figure 5**) (15, 17). The structural comparison between IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  and IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  complexes allows us to probe the basis by which  $\gamma_c$  can recognize six distinct cytokines. For the convenience of comparison between these two structures, and to eliminate redundancy in the chapter, we describe them in parallel throughout the following sections.

## OVERALL STRUCTURE

The first complex structure of  $\gamma_c$  to be solved was the IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  quaternary complex (15, 16) (**Figure 5a**), which is composed of one copy each of IL-2, IL-2R $\alpha$ , IL-2R $\beta$ , and  $\gamma_c$ . Viewed from the perspective of the cell membrane, IL-2R $\alpha$  sits on top of IL-2, and receptors IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  form a Y shape in which IL-2 sits in the fork (**Figure 5a**). The overall structural organization of the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  ternary complex is very similar to that of the IL-2 quaternary complex with a 1:1:1 stoichiometry (**Figure 5b**) (17), except for the absence of a top-mounted IL-2R $\alpha$ . In the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  ternary complex, receptor IL-4R $\alpha$  and  $\gamma_c$  form a Y-shape heterodimer that binds to IL-4 in the classical site I/site II paradigm (**Figure 5b**).

## BINARY COMPLEX OF IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2

After resting T cells are activated by antigen, expression of IL-2R $\alpha$  is upregulated in order to sensitize the T cells to low concentrations



**Figure 5**


Extracellular complex structures of IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  (a) (15), IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  (b), IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 (c), and IL-13/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 (d) (17) extracellular signaling complexes depicted on a cell membrane.

of IL-2, which is required for clonal expansion. The human IL-2R $\alpha$  receptor chain, also known as Tac antigen or CD25, is a  $\sim$ 55-kDa polypeptide consisting of an extracellular domain of 219 residues, a transmembrane domain of 19 residues, and a short cytoplasmic tail containing 19 residues (111–113). The short cytoplasmic tail does not participate in the signal transduction, although it is highly conserved between mice and humans, suggesting some important functional roles that remain unknown (100). From sequence analysis, IL-2R $\alpha$  also clearly lacks the signature features of class I cytokine receptors such as IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$ . With the goal of targeting IL-2R $\alpha$  with therapeutics for immunosuppression, the crystallization of the IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$  binary complex was an important benchmark in the field (114). In fact, the monoclonal antibody referred to as anti-Tac targets IL-2R $\alpha$  and blocks the interaction of IL-2 with IL-2R $\alpha$  (115, 116). The humanized form of anti-Tac (daclizumab, or Zenapax<sup>®</sup>) has been approved by the FDA for use in preventing renal transplant rejection (117). In several other clinical trials, daclizumab also provided a reduction of rejection in patients receiving liver, cardiac, and pancreatic islet transplants (118–120).

In 2005, the structure of a recombinant soluble form of IL-2R $\alpha$  complexed with IL-2 was solved to 2.8 Å (53) and revealed a very unusual structure for both the IL-2R $\alpha$  as well as its mode of interaction with IL-2. The globular part of the IL-2R $\alpha$  extracellular region is composed of two domain-swapped sushi modules (D1 and D2). Strands A and B from one sushi domain (A-B on C-D-E) are exchanged with strands F and G from another sushi domain (F-G on H-I-J), so the two domain-swapped sushi modules in IL-2R $\alpha$  now become F on G-C-D-E (D1 domain) and A on B-H-I-J (D2 domain) (**Figure 6a**). As a result of this domain swap, IL-2R $\alpha$  uses a composite surface to dock into a groove on IL-2 between the A' and B' helices, the same surface that serves as a binding site for antagonist drugs (121). This can be considered the dorsal surface of the cytokine with respect to the membrane, poised to


present IL-2 to the side-oncoming IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  (**Figures 5a, 6c**). The IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$  binding interface (site a) has an extensive buried surface of 1670 Å<sup>2</sup> and is dominated by two hydrophobic clusters with a surrounding polar periphery, which may contribute to IL-2R $\alpha$ 's high-affinity binding and exquisite specificity. A recent mutational study identified IL-2 hot-spot residues Glu-62, Tyr-45, and Phe-42 as the most energetically critical residues in the receptor/IL-2 interface (122). Interestingly, these residues also serve as the hot-spots for several small molecule drugs that antagonize IL-2 binding to this receptor suggesting that the small molecule and receptor use the same energetic mechanism for binding. The implications are that residue contact footprints in a protein/protein interface may, in some cases, serve as a useful surrogate scaffold for design of small molecules.

The IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$  complex represents the initiating step for formation of the quaternary signaling complex, so many anticipated that IL-2R $\alpha$ 's role was simply to capture free IL-2 and present it to IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 7a** and **Table 1**). Moreover, the expression level of IL-2R $\alpha$  is 10- to 20-fold higher than that of IL-2R $\beta$  on activated T cells (123). Thus, the excess of IL-2R $\alpha$  molecules and relatively high-affinity binding to IL-2 would facilitate efficient capture of free IL-2 and its delivery to IL-2R $\beta$  through a restricted two-dimensional handoff on the same cell membrane. That IL-2R $\alpha$  did not appear to make any contact with either IL-2R $\beta$  or  $\gamma_c$  in the quaternary signaling complex was a surprise (**Figure 5a, 6c**) (15). The linker connecting the globular domains of IL-2R $\alpha$  to the cell membrane, which is disordered in the structure, does not appear capable of forming receptor-receptor contact with IL-2R $\beta$  even if fully extended (**Figure 6c**). This is a rather surprising finding given the long-standing observation that the coexpression of IL-2R $\alpha$  and IL-2R $\beta$  forms the pseudo-high-affinity complex that can bind to IL-2 with a  $K_d$  of  $\sim$ 30 pM, much higher than IL-2 binding to IL-2R $\beta$  alone ( $K_d \approx$  100 nM) (124). Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments with the soluble receptor ectodomains



**Figure 6**

IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$  and IL-15/IL-15R $\alpha$  binary complexes. (a) IL-2R $\alpha$  is composed of two sushi modules (D1 and D2) that swap opposing  $\beta$ -strands, forming a noncanonical sushi fold topology (53). (b) IL-2R $\alpha$  and IL-15R $\alpha$  contact the dorsal surface of IL-2 and IL-15, respectively, with respect to the membrane (130, 131). (c) IL-2 quaternary complex and modeled IL-15 quaternary complexes. IL-2R $\alpha$  presents IL-2 in *cis*, whereas IL-15R $\alpha$  presents IL-15 in *cis* or *trans*.



**Figure 7**

The sequential assembly pathways of the IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  quaternary (*a*), IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  (*b*), IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 (*c*), and IL-13/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 (*d*) ternary complexes. See **Table 1** for the interaction affinity and thermodynamic parameters of each binding site.

**Table 1** Interaction affinity and thermodynamic parameters of binding sites in IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  quaternary complex, IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$ , IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1, and IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 ternary complexes<sup>a</sup>


Complex	Binding site	K <sub>d</sub> (nM)	$\Delta H$ (Kcal/mol)	$\Delta S$ (cal/molK)	$\Delta G$ (Kcal/mol)
IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /R $\beta$ / $\gamma$	Site a	10	-5.2	18.4	-10.5
	Site I	63	-6.9	8.9	-9.5
	Site II	12	-10.3	0.72	-10.4
IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$	Site I	1	-11.2	3.5	-12.2
	Site II	559	-11.7	-10.5	-8.6
IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1	Site I	1	-11.2	3.5	-12.2
	Site II+III	487	-4.8	13.0	-8.7
IL-13/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1	Site I	30	-15.0	-15.6	-10.3
	Site II+III	20	-5.8	16.0	-10.6

<sup>a</sup>Data compiled from References 17 and 97.

also showed a twofold affinity increase between IL-2 and IL-2R $\beta$  in the presence of IL-2R $\alpha$  (97). Kinetic studies of both membrane-bound and soluble ectodomains have shown that the on rate of IL-2 for IL-2R $\beta$  is 3 to 20 times faster in the presence of IL-2R $\alpha$  (96, 98). A simple mechanistic explanation for these affinity data would be the presence of a composite binding surface for IL-2 contributed by both IL-2R $\alpha$  and IL-2R $\beta$ , which is not seen in the structure (**Figure 5a**). So what is the basis of the cooperativity? One explanation is that the affinity-enhancing role of IL-2R $\alpha$  is independent of structural effects and is achieved by simply capturing and concentrating free IL-2 at the cell surface, as mentioned above. The other possibility is an IL-2R $\alpha$ -induced conformational change in IL-2 that favors the binding to IL-2R $\beta$ . After comparison of the IL-2 structures in the quaternary complex, binary complex, and unbound states, one local conformational adjustment on IL-2 upon IL-2R $\alpha$  binding was found at the beginning of the helix C, where several turns of the helix are slightly unwound and translated forward on the helical axis by approximately 1.0 Å toward IL-2R $\beta$ . This local conformational change enables the movement of IL-2 residue Asn-88 into hydrogen-bonding proximity to IL-2R $\beta$  residue Arg-42 (**Figure 8a**). Consistent with this, mutation of Asn-88 in IL-2 ablates binding to IL-2R $\beta$  (125). We take this to suggest that IL-2R $\alpha$  may induce and stabilize a favorable IL-2R $\beta$ -binding

conformation of the IL-2 C helix in IL-2, in addition to its roles in capture and delivery.

IL-15 is the only other cytokine that uses a specific sushi-domain  $\alpha$  receptor (IL-15R $\alpha$ ) (126, 127). In addition, IL-15 uses IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  as its signaling components in the receptor heterotrimer (128, 129). Because IL-15R $\alpha$  has only one sushi domain in the extracellular part, there is no possibility for a strand exchange as observed in IL-2R $\alpha$ , which has been confirmed by a NMR structure of the IL-15R $\alpha$  sushi domain and two complex crystal structures of IL-15R $\alpha$  sushi domain with IL-15 from human and mouse (54, 130, 131). In these structures, the IL-15R $\alpha$  sushi domain shows a canonical sushi fold topology (**Figure 6b**). The IL-15/IL-15R $\alpha$  and IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$  binary complexes have similar cytokine-receptor docking modes: The  $\alpha$  receptor sushi domain binds to the dorsal surface of the cytokine (**Figure 6b**), and the cytokine-receptor interaction footprints of IL-15R $\alpha$  on IL-15 and IL-2R $\alpha$  on IL-2 also have substantial overlap (130–132), but IL-15R $\alpha$  has an approximately 1000-fold higher binding affinity to IL-15 than that of IL-2R $\alpha$  to IL-2 (126). Compared to the dominant hydrophobic patches, with a surrounding polar periphery seen in the IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$  binding interface, the interaction area between IL-15 and IL-15R $\alpha$  is dominated by salt bridges and hydrogen bonds with superior shape complementarity (130, 131). The charge-charge interactions may cause the low K<sub>off</sub> value between IL-15 and IL-15R $\alpha$  that



**Figure 8**

Specific versus degenerate binding sites I and IIa in the IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  and IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  complexes. (a) Left panel shows the binding site I between IL-2 helices A and C and IL-2R $\beta$  loops in the elbow region. The corresponding binding site I in the IL-4 ternary complex is shown in right panel. (b) Binding site IIa in the IL-2 quaternary complex ( $\gamma_c$ /IL-2) and in the IL-4 ternary complex ( $\gamma_c$ /IL-4) are shown in left and right panels, respectively.

is responsible for the high affinity. Similar to IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ , the presence of the IL-15R $\alpha$  endows IL-15 with a much higher affinity for IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  (30, 133). One possible explanation for this is the fact that IL-15R $\alpha$  is known to present IL-15 in *trans*, from cell to cell, to the IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  complex (134) (**Figure 6c**). The disconnection of IL-15R $\alpha$  from residing in the same membrane as the IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  components may require a more profound allostery for IL-15R $\alpha$  to serve effectively as an affinity converter for IL-15. In other words, the *trans*-signaling role of IL-15R $\alpha$  requires that IL-15 binding to IL-2R $\beta$  is enhanced solely through conformational change rather than simply through surface capture on the same cell membrane. Although *trans*-presentation is currently believed to be the major mechanism by which IL-15 exerts its biological effects in vivo, the assembly of a *cis* IL-15/IL-15R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  quaternary complex on the surface of the same cell is also used (131, 135) (**Figure 6c**).

### IL-2R $\beta$ /IL-2 AND IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-4 INTERFACES

IL-2R $\beta$  and IL-4R $\alpha$  are functional and structural counterparts in their respective signaling complexes, and both form one of the two major signaling subunits in their  $\gamma_c$  receptor complexes. The  $\sim$ 75-kDa human IL-2R $\beta$  chain is composed of an extracellular domain of 214 residues, a transmembrane domain of 25 residues, and an intracellular domain of 286 residues (136). The  $\sim$ 140-kDa human IL-4R $\alpha$  chain has 207 residues in the extracellular domain, 24 in the transmembrane region, and 569 in the intracellular domain (137). Although the nomenclature is confusing, IL-2R $\beta$  is analogous to the  $\alpha$  receptors for other  $\gamma_c$  cytokines, but because IL-2 has the additional nonstandard initiating receptor, IL-2R $\alpha$ , IL-2R $\beta$  is then referred to as the  $\beta$  receptor on the basis of the sequence of interactions with IL-2. The intracellular domains of IL-2R $\beta$  and IL-4R $\alpha$  possess the box 1 and box 2 motifs at the membrane-proximal region that constitute the binding sites for JAK1 (34). The cytokine

binding-induced association of IL-2R $\beta$  or IL-4R $\alpha$  with  $\gamma_c$  will bring their intracellular domains into close proximity, inducing the activation of the JAK kinases (**Figure 5a,b**).

After the capture of IL-2 by IL-2R $\alpha$ , the delivery of IL-2 to IL-2R $\beta$  in *cis* represents the second step in the formation of the quaternary IL-2 receptor complex (**Figure 7a** and **Table 1**). The binding interface between IL-2 and IL-2R $\beta$  (site I) buries  $\sim$ 1350 Å<sup>2</sup> and is formed by residues from helices A and C in IL-2 and loops CC'1, EF1, BC2, and FG2 in IL-2R $\beta$  (**Figure 8a**). The interface is highly polar, with eight hydrogen bonds directly between IL-2 and IL-2R $\beta$  residues and seven buried water molecules mediating the interactions between IL-2 and IL-2R $\beta$  by forming hydrogen bonds with protein atoms (**Figure 8a**). Solvent exchange with the layer of water molecules between IL-2R $\beta$  and IL-2 could explain the fast on and off rates and the weak affinity of the IL-2/IL-2R $\beta$  binary complex. Two residues of IL-2 that have been shown by mutagenesis to be critical for IL-2R $\beta$  binding, Asp-20 (138) and Asn-88 (125), are involved in hydrogen bonding networks to both water molecules and side chains on IL-2R $\beta$ . There is excellent knob-in-hole shape complementarity between IL-2R $\beta$  and IL-2 (**Figure 8a**).

As mentioned, IL-2R $\beta$  is also used by IL-15 to form a quaternary complex along with the IL-15R $\alpha$  and  $\gamma_c$  (30, 133) (**Figure 6c**). IL-15 has limited sequence homology (19%) with IL-2, so its contacts with IL-2R $\beta$  are almost certainly through a unique set of interactions. The apparently central role that water molecules play in bridging hydrogen bonds between IL-2R $\beta$  and IL-2 would contribute to the ability of IL-2R $\beta$  to cross-react through remodeling of this hydration layer to accommodate the IL-15 residues.

The first step in the formation of the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  complex is the binding of IL-4 with IL-4R $\alpha$  receptor (139, 140) (**Figure 7b** and **Table 1**). These interactions were first elucidated in the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$  binary complex (141). The comparison of IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$  binary and IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  ternary complex

structures reveals that the engagement of  $\gamma_c$  does not cause substantial conformational changes in the mode of interaction with IL-4R $\alpha$ . Minor differences in peripheral interface contacts between the IL-4 type I binary and ternary complexes are likely due to the differing resolutions of the structures and crystal packing forces. The interacting residues from helices A and C in IL-4 and loops CC'1, EF1, AB1, BC2, and FG2 in IL-4R $\alpha$  bury a total surface area of  $\sim 1520 \text{ \AA}^2$  (site I) (**Figure 8a**). The chemical nature of the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$  interface is also highly polar, similar to that of the IL-2/IL-2R $\beta$  interface. The interacting residues in the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$  interface can be grouped into two major clusters centered at Glu-9 (IL-4) to Tyr-134 (IL-4R $\alpha$ ) and Arg-88 (IL-4) to Asp-72 (IL-4R $\alpha$ ), respectively, each containing an inner polar core surrounded by outer hydrophobic residues (**Figure 8a**). Considering there are only two bridging water molecules in the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$  interface, the buried polar and charged interactions contribute to the rapid on rate ( $K_{\text{on}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and slow off rate ( $K_{\text{off}} \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) that result in high-affinity binding between IL-4 and IL-4R $\alpha$  (142). An exhaustive double-mutant analysis of the IL-4-IL-4R $\alpha$  interaction has been carried out by the Sebald group (143), and we refer the reader to this paper for a rigorous, energetic deconvolution of the cytokine-receptor interface.

In summary, both the IL-2 and IL-4 interactions with their respective  $\alpha$  chains are characterized by polar and charged contacts. This is consistent with the high degree of specificity these receptors show for their cytokine, in contrast to  $\gamma_c$ 's degeneracy. Although not completely generalizable, polar and charged contacts primarily mediate specificity in protein-protein interactions because their energetic content is greatly affected by the precise structural context within an interface (144). Van der Waals and hydrophobic interactions are more suitable to a promiscuous binding surface because these are less structure-selective interactions that are a result more of water exclusion

than of specific structural context and pairwise atomic contacts (144).

## RECRUITMENT OF $\gamma_c$ BY IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha\beta$ AND IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$

The interaction of the IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$  ternary complex with  $\gamma_c$  is the last step in formation of the IL-2 signaling complex on activated T cells (**Figure 7a** and **Table 1**). IL-2 has a very low affinity for  $\gamma_c$  alone ( $K_d \approx 700 \text{ \mu M}$ ) (97, 98), requiring precomplexation with IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$  (in the high-affinity complex) or IL-2R $\beta$  alone (in the intermediate-affinity complex) to bind  $\gamma_c$  with  $K_d$  in the low nM range. IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  do not have a measurable affinity for one another (97). The two weak interactions, IL-2 with  $\gamma_c$  and IL-2R $\beta$  with  $\gamma_c$ , combine to produce a high affinity for  $\gamma_c$ . In the complex structure, two contact surfaces, a small one between IL-2 and  $\gamma_c$  and a larger one between IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$ , form the interaction surface between IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 5a**).

The IL-2/ $\gamma_c$  binding surface (site IIa) reflects its degenerate recognition capabilities, showing a remarkable flatness and almost tangential contact with IL-2 (**Figure 8b**). The IL-2/ $\gamma_c$  interface is the smallest of the four protein/protein interfaces in the complex, burying  $\sim 970 \text{ \AA}^2$  of surface area. In contrast to the IL-2R $\beta$  interface, the  $\gamma_c$  binding surface is rather devoid of extended side chain-specific interactions with IL-2 and exhibits primarily main chain contact (**Figure 8b**).

Unlike the IL-2/IL-2R $\beta$  interface that has a broad array of specific polar interactions, the IL-2/ $\gamma_c$  interface is composed of small contact patches (**Figure 8b**). The first one is composed of residue Tyr-103 from  $\gamma_c$  and residues Ser-127 and Ser-130 from IL-2. In  $\gamma_c$ , the Tyr-103 side chain does not protrude outward toward IL-2 but is instead pinned back via a hydrogen bond with the Cys-209 main chain and is therefore positioned so that its aromatic ring packs flat against the side chains of Ser-127 and Ser-130 in IL-2 (**Figure 8b**). The second

contact patch is around residue Gln-126 in IL-2, whose side chain is flattened and almost parallel to the surface formed by main chain atoms of residues Pro-207 to Ser-211 in the FG2 loop of  $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 8b**).

The second part of the composite interface between IL-2/IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  is formed by extensive interactions between the D2 domains of IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  (site IIb) (**Figure 5a**). The residues in the IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  interface bury over 1750 Å<sup>2</sup> of surface area, which is the most extensive seen so far between receptor domains in cytokine-receptor complexes. The IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  interface is predominantly composed of polar interactions, with a total of 17 hydrogen bonds surrounding a small hydrophobic stripe.

After the initial binding of IL-4 with the IL-4R $\alpha$  receptor, the association of  $\gamma_c$  is also mediated through a composite interface: IL-4/ $\gamma_c$  and IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 7b** and **Table 1**). The IL-4/ $\gamma_c$  interface buries a total surface of ~1020 Å<sup>2</sup>, which is slightly larger than that of the IL-2/ $\gamma_c$  interface but still the smallest in the IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  complex (site IIa) (**Figure 8b**). The Tyr-103 residue and the FG2 loop fixed by the Cys-160 to Cys-209 disulfide bond in  $\gamma_c$  interact with IL-4 in a binding mode similar to that of  $\gamma_c$  within the IL-2 receptor complex (**Figure 8b**). There is low sequence identity between IL-2 and IL-4, and so the contacting residues in IL-4 with Tyr-103 are Glu-122 and Ser-125, which still contact the aromatic ring of Tyr-103 through van der Waals contacts instead of forming specific polar interactions (**Figure 8b**). In the IL-4/ $\gamma_c$  binding interface, the Arg-121 residue from IL-4, which replaces the critical Asn-126 position in IL-2, contacts with main chain atoms of residues Pro-207 to Ser-211 in  $\gamma_c$  through its long methylene side chain (**Figure 8b**). While maintaining these two binding epitopes that are also observed in the IL-2/ $\gamma_c$  interface, the IL-4/ $\gamma_c$  interface has an additional hydrophobic patch consisting of Tyr-124 in IL-4 and the interacting Leu-208 and Tyr-182 in  $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 8b**).

Also contributing to the IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  interface are extensive receptor-receptor contacts

between the D2 domains of the respective CHR (site IIb) (**Figure 5b**). However, the packing between IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  is less intimate than that of the IL-2R $\beta$ / $\gamma_c$  interface, as evidenced by its much smaller buried surface (~1200 Å<sup>2</sup> versus ~1750 Å<sup>2</sup>). The IL-4R $\alpha$ / $\gamma_c$  interface also has extensive polar interactions surrounding a small hydrophobic stripe in the center, dominated by Tyr-154 from IL-4R $\alpha$  and Phe-186 from  $\gamma_c$ . The IL-4R $\alpha$ - $\gamma_c$  interaction is much weaker ( $K_d \sim \mu\text{M}$ ) (17) in the IL-4 ternary complex than that between IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  in the IL-2 quaternary complex (**Table 1**). The fact that receptor-receptor contacts seen in the respective complexes are less extensive explains much of this affinity difference.

## DEGENERATE CYTOKINE RECOGNITION BY $\gamma_c$

Protein-protein interactions and, in fact, most receptor-ligand interactions are usually characterized by specificity for one ligand. Therefore, the molecular basis for  $\gamma_c$  recognition of six different cytokines has been not only a fundamental question in understanding cytokine recognition, but also a basic problem in physical chemistry. As a shared signaling subunit, the engagement of  $\gamma_c$  is the last step in the formation of functional signaling complexes (**Figure 7a,b**). On the basis of the structural information from the IL-2 quaternary complex and the IL-4 ternary complex, we can conclude that the preformed complexes of cytokines with the  $\alpha$  receptors provide a composite binding site for  $\gamma_c$  that is composed of two interaction interfaces: cytokine/ $\gamma_c$  and  $\alpha$  receptor/ $\gamma_c$ . The small buried surface area (~970 Å<sup>2</sup> between IL-2 and  $\gamma_c$  and ~1020 Å<sup>2</sup> between IL-4 and  $\gamma_c$ ) and the formation of relatively nonspecific atomic interactions characterize the cytokine/ $\gamma_c$  binding interface. In contrast, the  $\alpha$  receptor/ $\gamma_c$  binding interface has a large buried surface area (~1750 Å<sup>2</sup> between IL-2R $\beta$  and  $\gamma_c$  and ~1200 Å<sup>2</sup> between IL-4R $\alpha$  and  $\gamma_c$ ) composed predominantly of specific polar interactions.

Extensive mutagenesis work has identified Tyr-103, Cys-160, and Cys-209 in  $\gamma_c$  as

critical residues for the engagement of all  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines (145–147). Because certain residues around these hot-spot positions were exclusively implicated in the binding of different cytokines, such as Ile-100 and Leu-102 for IL-4 binding and Asn-44, Leu-161, and Glu-162 for IL-21 binding, the  $\gamma_c$ -binding sites for different cytokines overlap but are not identical (146, 147). The side chain of Tyr-103 does not form specific side chain interactions with residues in IL-2 and IL-4, but it presents its aromatic ring for contact with residues Ser-127 and Ser-130 in IL-2 and Glu-122 and Ser-125 in IL-4 (**Figure 8b**). Residues Cys-160 and Cys-209 form a unique disulfide bond that connects loops FG2 and BC2 in the D2 domain of  $\gamma_c$  (**Figure 8b**). This disulfide bond fixes the bent conformation of loop FG2, where the main chain atoms from residues Ser-207 to Pro-211 directly contact the methylene side chain of residue Gln-126 in IL-2 or Arg-121 in IL-4 (**Figure 8b**). The critical role of these hot-spot residues in  $\gamma_c$  is also illustrated by the fact that some of their mutations have been found in the human  $\gamma_c$  gene of X-SCID patients (88).

Although the cytokines recognized by  $\gamma_c$  have an average 19% sequence identity among them, helix D is the most conserved region, which is also the major contact area used by  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines to bind  $\gamma_c$ . Phe-114 and Ile-115 are two strictly conserved positions at the N terminus of helix D in IL-2, but they are not involved in  $\gamma_c$  binding, and their hydrophobic side chains point into the helical core. Residue 126 in IL-2 helix D is a conserved Asn in the  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines IL-9, IL-15, and IL-21, while IL-4 and IL-7 contain Arg-121 and Lys-139 at this position. The Gln-126 in IL-2 and Arg-121 at this position in IL-4 both contribute to binding with  $\gamma_c$  in their respective complexes (**Figure 8b**). Although this position serves as a common contact point for  $\gamma_c$ , its importance in binding with  $\gamma_c$  can vary with different cytokines. In IL-2, Gln-126 is the major  $\gamma_c$ -binding determinant, and mutation of this site greatly reduces binding affinity (148). In IL-4, Arg-121 is only one of the minor  $\gamma_c$ -binding determinants, and the nearby residues

Ile-11, Asn-15, and Tyr-124 serve as the major determinants (146).

In the absence of obvious conserved hot-spot residues in  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines, shape complementarity appears to play a dominant role. This assertion is supported by the observation that both IL-2 and IL-4 provide a shallow groove that accommodates the protruding hot-spot binding residues in  $\gamma_c$ . In IL-2, the bulky side chains from residues Thr-123, Gln-126, Ser-127, Ile-129, and Ser-130 form the walls of a canyon, which receives a protruding ridge on  $\gamma_c$  composed of Tyr-103 and the Cys-160 to Cys-209 disulfide bond (**Figure 8b**). The groove in IL-4 is surrounded by residues Thr-118, Arg-121, Glu-122, Tyr-124, and Ser-125, which are in the same positions with those of IL-2 (**Figure 8b**). The formation of the groove for the placement of hot-spot residues in  $\gamma_c$  would only require that residues have side chains with similar volume and shape, which can be achieved without the need for strictly conserved residues. We expect that other  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines, IL-7, IL-9, IL-15, and IL-21, will also form a similar shallow groove on helix D that serves as the docking site for the protruding binding site on  $\gamma_c$ . The functional role of this groove appears to facilitate complex formation with  $\gamma_c$  by guiding a perfect geometrical alignment of the D2 domains of the cytokine-specific  $\alpha$  receptor and  $\gamma_c$ , resulting in the numerous interatomic contacts (H-bonds, van der Waals, etc.) in the D2/D2 interface between  $\alpha$  receptor and  $\gamma_c$ . In other words, the knob-in-hole shape complementarity between the groove on the cytokine and the protruding  $\gamma_c$ -binding site acts as a guide to align the receptor D2 domains for the intimate interaction that they exhibit.

### **RECRUITMENT OF IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 IN TYPE II IL-4 AND IL-13 RECEPTOR COMPLEXES**

As previously mentioned, IL-4R $\alpha$  represents an important subfamily of  $\gamma_c$ -cytokine receptors in that it serves as a shared signaling receptor within three different cell surface


complexes. IL-4R $\alpha$  and IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 form receptor heterodimers on cells of nonhematopoietic stem cell origin, functioning as the type II receptor complex for both IL-4 and IL-13. IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 is derived from the same ancestral subgroup as  $\gamma_c$  (149), and its divergence derives from its extra N-terminal Ig-like domain that has contact with the dorsal surfaces of both IL-4 and IL-13 (site III) in IL-4/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 and IL-13/IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 complexes (**Figure 5c,d**). The IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 D1 domain-binding sites on IL-4 and IL-13 have extensive overlap with the respective  $\alpha$  receptor-binding sites on IL-2 and IL-15. In site III of both complexes, the C' strand of IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 interacts with the C-D strand of IL-4 and IL-13, forming an antiparallel beta sheet. The residues Trp-65 and Ile-78 on the C' strand of IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 form a hydrophobic patch that opposes complementary hydrophobic residues in IL-13—these interactions are missing between IL-4 and IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 (**Figure 5c,d**). Consistent with this structural data, mutational studies have shown that after deleting the D1 domain, the IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 D2D3 CHR module does not detectably bind to IL-13, but it can still form a ternary complex with IL-4 and IL-4R $\alpha$  (17). This difference in the energetics of site III interactions between the respective cytokines likely explains the requirement of IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 D1 domain for signaling in the IL-13 type II complex, in contrast to the IL-4 type II complex (150).

Although IL-4 and IL-13 use the same IL-4R $\alpha$ /IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 receptor heterodimer for signaling, the type II IL-4 and IL-13 complexes assemble in the reverse cooperative sequences (**Figure 7c,d**). Similar to the type I IL-4 complex, type II IL-4 complex is formed by the initial high-affinity binding of IL-4 with IL-4R $\alpha$  (site I) with a subnanomolar  $K_d$ , followed by the recruitment of IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 (site II and site III) with a much lower affinity of 487 nM (**Figure 7c** and **Table 1**). In contrast, for the type II IL-13 complex, IL-13 first binds to IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 with an affinity of 30 nM, and the IL-13/IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 binary complex then recruits IL-4R $\alpha$  with an affinity of 20 nM (**Figure 7d** and **Table 1**).

The driver (receptor for initial cytokine interaction) and trigger (receptor recruited for signaling) terminology was previously proposed for the assembly of  $\gamma_c$  heterodimeric complexes (151). The type II IL-4 and IL-13 complexes have switched the driver and trigger in their respective assembly pathways. The recruitment of the trigger IL-4R $\alpha$  in the type II IL-13 complex is more energetically favorable ( $K_d \approx 20$  nM) than the recruitment of the trigger IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 by the type II IL-4 complex ( $K_d \approx 487$  nM). Measurement of STAT6 phosphorylation induced by IL-4 and IL-13 in the human epithelial carcinoma cell line A549 (expressing IL-4R $\alpha$  and IL-13R $\alpha$ 1, but not  $\gamma_c$ ) revealed that IL-4 is more potent (17). In this A549 cell line, the expression level of IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 is higher than that of IL-4R $\alpha$ . In other cell lines where IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 expression level is limiting, IL-13 can become more potent than IL-4 in stimulating signaling (152). It was therefore proposed that when IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 is abundant, the high-affinity binding of IL-4 with its driver IL-4R $\alpha$  would determine the signaling potency. When IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 is limiting, the IL-13 and IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 binding affinity ( $K_d \approx 30$  nM) would still allow the efficient formation of IL-13/IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 binary complex, and the subsequent relatively high-affinity binding ( $K_d \approx 20$  nM) with trigger IL-4R $\alpha$  could favor the formation of the type II IL-13 complex, resulting in more potent IL-13-induced signaling. These studies showed that membrane-proximal signaling events induced by a cytokine could be collectively influenced by many factors: the structural aspects of extracellular cytokine receptor interactions (e.g., receptor orientation and conformation), the concentration of cytokine, receptor expression level, the sequence of receptor assembly, and cytokine receptor binding affinity. Each of these factors could potentially be manipulated to effect a therapeutic endpoint in this system that has obvious clinical importance for asthma.

## COMPARISON OF $\gamma_c$ WITH gp130

With a raft of structures of both  $\gamma_c$  and gp130 complexes with cytokines, we can now



**Figure 9**

Cross-reactivity of the cytokine-binding homology region (CHR) of  $\gamma_c$  and gp130. (a) Contact surface of  $\gamma_c$  and gp130 when bound to IL-2, IL-4, HHV-8 IL-6, IL-6, and LIF. Hydrophobic residues are colored as blue surface area and hydrophilic residues as red surface area. The helices and the contacting residues for each of the cytokines are shown docked onto the surface of the receptor. (b) Surface representations showing the contact surface of each cytokine. Note that IL-2 and IL-4 have a similar distribution of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues in the contact area. For gp130 family cytokines, HHV-8 IL-6 has primarily hydrophobic contact surface area. The contact area on IL-6 is more polar, and LIF has the most significant hydrophilic contact surface. (c) The packing environment of the common binding epitope residues Tyr-103 ( $\gamma_c$ ) and Phe-169 (gp130) against the cytokines.

assess the similarities and differences between the structural mechanisms by which these shared receptors cross-react (**Figure 9**). The ectodomain of  $\gamma_c$  is composed of one CHR domain, whose elbow region at the interdomain boundary is the contact area for six different short-chain cytokines. While the ectodomain of gp130 is taller than that of  $\gamma_c$  and consists of one top-mounted Ig domain, one CHR module, and three extra membrane-proximal fibronectin domains (**Figure 3**), the main gateway entry point for all long-chain gp130 family cytokines is also the elbow of the CHR module, analogous to  $\gamma_c$  (12). The structural analogies between the CHR modules on  $\gamma_c$  and

gp130 are, then, very clear. Their cytokine-binding surfaces are similar in the distribution of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues, with a largely hydrophobic core shared region and discontinuous peripheral polar patches, but gp130 has more buried surface area within the cytokine-receptor interface compared with  $\gamma_c$ , consistent with gp130 engaging the larger long-chain cytokines (**Figure 9a**). The buried surface area on  $\gamma_c$  contributing to IL-2 and IL-4 binding is  $\sim 500 \text{ \AA}^2$ , whereas the buried surface area on gp130 upon binding human IL-6, human LIF, and viral IL-6 is 710, 700, and  $610 \text{ \AA}^2$ , respectively. Gp130 also has a larger core hydrophobic region of its interfaces. This

can possibly be understood from the standpoint that gp130 is a shared receptor capable of binding to some cytokines, such as LIF and OSM, in the absence of an  $\alpha$  receptor, therefore not requiring the receptor-receptor contact necessary in the  $\gamma_c$  complexes.  $\gamma_c$ , in contrast, requires an  $\alpha$  receptor for all cytokine interactions and therefore has a smaller binding interface with cytokines, as the energetics are distributed across both  $\alpha$  receptor and cytokine. In the hydrophobic core of the interfaces of both  $\gamma_c$  complexes, Tyr-103 is the hot-spot residue that contributes about 20% of the buried surface area upon binding with IL-2 and IL-4 (**Figure 9c**). Gp130 has Phe-169, an analog of Tyr-103 in  $\gamma_c$ , in the center of the hydrophobic core of its binding site, that contributes the largest fraction of buried surface area and is critical for ligand engagement of all cytokines (71, 153–155) (**Figure 9c**). Another noteworthy observation is the rigidity of the binding surfaces on  $\gamma_c$  and gp130. A comparison between the unliganded (153) and liganded forms of gp130 shows almost no rotameric flexibility in the side chains of interacting residues. Although we do not have the structure of unliganded  $\gamma_c$ , the superimposition of  $\gamma_c$  structures onto the complex of IL-2 and IL-4 shows a similar rigidity of the side chains of interacting residues. Thus, conformational plasticity is most likely not used by either shared receptor as a means of cross-reactivity. More generally, the idea that conformational plasticity will be a mechanism to enable cross-reactivity in protein-ligand interactions has largely been supplanted by the observation that degeneracy can be provided simply through enthalpy-entropy compensation of rigid interacting surfaces (13, 156).

The binding epitopes on  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines for  $\gamma_c$  are on helices A and D, whereas the binding epitopes for gp130 on the gp130 family cytokines are on helices A and C. The binding surfaces on IL-2 and IL-4 for  $\gamma_c$  are quite similar in the distribution of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues (**Figure 8b**). Structurally nonidentical but positionally analogous residues on the respective  $\gamma_c$  cytokines form

the grooves similar in topology and chemical nature for the docking of  $\gamma_c$  residue Tyr-103 and the loop formed by the Cys-160 to Cys-209 disulfide bond (**Figure 8b**). In contrast, the surfaces contributing to the binding to gp130 in HHV-8 IL-6, LIF, and IL-6 are very different in the distribution of hydrophilic and hydrophobic residues (**Figure 8b**). The gp130-binding surface on HHV-8 IL-6 has the largest hydrophobic area, and the binding surface on IL-6 is significantly more polar. The contact surface on LIF is the most polar, consistent with four well-defined water molecules that participate in an intermolecular hydrogen bond network observed in the crystal structure of LIF in complex with gp130 (13). The topology and chemical nature of the observed grooves in gp130 family cytokines for the docking of Phe-169 from gp130 vary greatly between different cytokines (**Figure 8c**). The groove on HHV-8 IL-6 represents one extreme with a deep pocket. The surface grooves on human IL-6 and LIF that accommodate Phe-169 are more similar in overall topology, but are not as deep as observed in HHV-8 IL-6. In human IL-6, the Phe-169 does not sit deeply in the pocket, but instead packs directly against the side chains from IL-6. The gp130-binding groove on LIF is the most polar of all three cytokines. The polar head groups of hydrophilic residues from LIF form the walls of the pocket and direct the aromatic ring of Phe-169 to pack against LIF in a similar fashion to the packing of Phe-169 against human IL-6.

Analysis of the binding surfaces on  $\gamma_c$  and gp130 indicates that they use chemically inert complementary surfaces to bind to different cytokines, as opposed to adjusting their main chain and/or side chain conformations to interact specifically with divergent cytokine residues. This observation contrasts with notions of receptor promiscuity through binding site flexibility (157). Because structural adaptation is not used by  $\gamma_c$  or gp130 as a means of cross-reactivity, the basis for degenerate recognition lies in the unique chemistry of the CHR epitope. Extensive thermodynamic studies of the binding between gp130 and different cytokines by ITC have revealed that their

interactions are all primarily entropy driven, presumably because of desolvation of the interacting surfaces (LIF: 5 cal/molK; human IL-6: 45 cal/molK; OSM: 30 cal/molK; and CNTF: 62 cal/molK), albeit to varying extents commensurate with the surface polarity of the cytokine (10). We interpret these data to mean that gp130 uses desolvation as a structurally insensitive means of cross-reacting with structurally unique surfaces. The multiple solvent-exposed aromatic residues are likely covered with immobilized water clathrates in the unbound state, so that expulsion of the water into bulk solvent would be extremely entropically favorable. ITC analysis of  $\gamma_c$  interactions with the preformed IL-2/IL-2R $\beta$  and IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$  complexes shows that the entropic contribution is smaller than that of gp130 (IL-2/IL-2R $\beta$ : 4.85 cal/molK; IL-2/IL-2R $\alpha$ /IL-2R $\beta$ : 0.72 cal/molK) (97). We still lack comparative data for the binding of  $\gamma_c$  with other  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines, but we expect that  $\gamma_c$  uses similar thermodynamic solutions for the recognition of different cytokines. The entropy contribution may be smaller than that of gp130 because  $\gamma_c$  has a smaller contact area. Also, compared with gp130, the entropy contribution by the elbow region (site II) of  $\gamma_c$  to binding likely plays less of a role in cytokine recognition because of the extensive D2-D2 interactions between  $\gamma_c$  and the  $\alpha$  receptors. The D2-D2 contacts are more polar in nature than are the cytokine-elbow region contacts and would presumably be more enthalpically driven, which would offset the entropy-driven recognition of the cytokine. Thus, as for gp130,  $\gamma_c$  uses enthalpy-entropy compensation to modulate its binding affinity for diverse surface chemistries.

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Gp130,  $\beta_c$ , and  $\gamma_c$ —our principal shared cytokine receptors—appear to use a variety of both distinct and similar mechanisms to recognize diverse cytokines and ultimately to assemble into productive signaling complexes. The

complexes exhibit the basic core template in which the CHR of the cytokine-specific  $\alpha$  receptors and the shared receptors engage the sides of the four-helix bundle in the typical site I/site II manner seen in homodimeric receptor complexes. The presence of an additional site III interacting Ig domain distinguishes gp130 from  $\gamma_c$ , and the antiparallel  $\beta_c$  dimer demarks the most obvious signature of the  $\beta_c$  complexes. In gp130, the heterodimers between gp130 and  $\alpha$  receptors are nonproductive because in most cases the  $\alpha$  receptors do not contain intracellular signaling motifs. Thus, site III is necessary to dimerize gp130, each of which is bound to a JAK, and signal. This stands in contrast to the  $\gamma_c$  family, in which the  $\alpha$  receptors do contain intracellular signaling domains, and so the  $\gamma_c$ / $\alpha$  receptor heterodimers are productive:  $\gamma_c$  does not need to be dimerized. Another major difference is the extensive receptor-receptor contact seen between  $\gamma_c$  and the  $\alpha$  receptors. The relatively energetic role of this contact versus the cytokine/ $\gamma_c$  contact remains to be determined, but it may be that the receptor-receptor contact between the D2 domains of the CHRs is the primary driving force for heterodimerization and that the role of the cytokines is to tip the energetic balance toward heterodimer formation. Finally, the chemical basis of degeneracy is also nicely paralleled in both receptors. Gp130 and  $\gamma_c$  are structurally rigid and present relatively flat, hydrophobic binding sites for cytokine engagement that are rather devoid of highly charged and specific polar contacts. There are several important future questions that remain regarding the extracellular structures of these shared receptors. For gp130, several cytokines such as CNTF, OSM, and LIF heterodimerize gp130 with LIFR. Now that the basic template for dimerization of gp130 and LIFR has been elucidated by EM and structural studies, a high-resolution structure of the intact heterodimer remains an exciting puzzle to solve. In the  $\gamma_c$  family, with only two complex structures so far, there is some indication of the presence of a possible recognition code between cytokines and  $\gamma_c$ . This would be very exciting and different from gp130 cytokines, which do

not appear to share any sequence or structural motifs necessary for gp130 engagement. The mechanism by which  $\beta_c$  cross-reacts with IL-3 and IL-5 awaits additional complex structures for comparison with GM-CSF.

However, the major structural frontier for cytokine receptors remains to obtain a better picture of the intracellular machinery. So far, we have no idea about the tertiary structure of any cytokine receptor intracellular domain. These regions may be unstructured unless bound to adaptors JAK and STAT. For STAT molecules, there are several structures now bound to DNA (158, 159) and also re-

cently bound to phosphorylated peptides from cytokine receptors (160). However, we do not have any full-length JAK structures. The crystal structures of kinase domains of JAKs are a step forward (161, 162), but ultimately we need to know how the N- and C-terminal ends of JAK communicate, as well as how the cytokine receptors box1 and box2 bind to JAK proteins. To fulfill these goals, higher-order imaging techniques such as EM and tomography will likely be used to obtain snapshots of entire cytokine receptors in lipid environments to preserve the integrity of the transmembrane regions.

## DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any biases that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the contribution of **Figure 4** from Michael Parker and Jack King-Scott prior to publication. We also acknowledge helpful discussions with Warren Leonard, Nicole Hanick, Mathias Rickert, Fernando Bazan, Rob Kastelein, and Marty Boulanger. This work was supported by NIH (RO1-AI51321), Keck Foundation, Sandler Foundation, Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Garcia KC, ed. 2004. *Advances in Protein Chemistry*, Vol. 68. London/San Diego: Academic. 506 pp.
2. Dechant G, Barde YA. 2002. The neurotrophin receptor p75(NTR): novel functions and implications for diseases of the nervous system. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5:1131–36
3. Airaksinen MS, Saarma M. 2002. The GDNF family: signalling, biological functions and therapeutic value. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3:383–94
4. Rudolph MG, Stanfield RL, Wilson IA. 2006. How TCRs bind MHCs, peptides, and coreceptors. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 24:419–66
5. Strong RK. 2002. Asymmetric ligand recognition by the activating natural killer cell receptor NKG2D, a symmetric homodimer. *Mol. Immunol.* 38:1029–37
6. Nicola NA. 1994. Cytokine pleiotropy and redundancy: a view from the receptor. *Stem Cells* 12(Suppl. 1):3–14
7. Taga T, Kishimoto T. 1995. Signaling mechanisms through cytokine receptors that share signal transducing receptor components. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 7:17–23
8. Ozaki K, Leonard WJ. 2002. Cytokine and cytokine receptor pleiotropy and redundancy. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:29355–58
9. Donnelly RP, Sheikh F, Kotenko SV, Dickensheets H. 2004. The expanded family of class II cytokines that share the IL-10 receptor-2 (IL-10R2) chain. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 76:314–21
10. Boulanger MJ, Garcia KC. 2004. Shared cytokine signaling receptors: structural insights from the gp130 system. *Adv. Protein Chem.* 68:107–46

11. Chow D, He X, Snow AL, Rose-John S, Garcia KC. 2001. Structure of an extracellular gp130 cytokine receptor signaling complex. *Science* 291:2150–55
12. Boulanger MJ, Chow DC, Brevnova EE, Garcia KC. 2003. Hexameric structure and assembly of the interleukin-6/IL-6  $\alpha$ -receptor/gp130 complex. *Science* 300:2101–4
13. Boulanger MJ, Bankovich AJ, Kortemme T, Baker D, Garcia KC. 2003. Convergent mechanisms for recognition of divergent cytokines by the shared signaling receptor gp130. *Mol. Cell* 12:577–89
14. Huyton T, Zhang JG, Luo CS, Lou MZ, Hilton DJ, et al. 2007. An unusual cytokine:Ig-domain interaction revealed in the crystal structure of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) in complex with the LIF receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:12737–42
15. Wang X, Rickert M, Garcia KC. 2005. Structure of the quaternary complex of interleukin-2 with its  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma_c$  receptors. *Science* 310:1159–63
16. Stauber DJ, Debler EW, Horton PA, Smith KA, Wilson IA. 2006. Crystal structure of the IL-2 signaling complex: paradigm for a heterotrimeric cytokine receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:2788–93
17. LaPorte SL, Juo ZS, Vaclavikova J, Colf LA, Qi X, et al. 2008. Molecular and structural basis of cytokine receptor pleiotropy in the interleukin-4/13 system. *Cell* 132:259–72
18. Hansen G, Hercus TR, McClure BJ, Stomski FC, Dottore M, et al. 2008. The structure of the GM-CSF receptor complex reveals a new mode of cytokine receptor activation. *Cell* 134:496–507
19. Davies DR, Wlodawer A. 1995. Cytokines and their receptor complexes. *FASEB J.* 9:50–56
20. Wells JA, de Vos AM. 1996. Hematopoietic receptor complexes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 65:609–34
21. Kosiakoff AA, De Vos AM. 1998. Structural basis for cytokine hormone-receptor recognition and receptor activation. *Adv. Protein Chem.* 52:67–108
22. Wilson IA, Jolliffe LK. 1999. The structure, organization, activation and plasticity of the erythropoietin receptor. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 9:696–704
23. Bravo J, Heath JK. 2000. Receptor recognition by gp130 cytokines. *EMBO J.* 19:2399–411
24. Rose-John S. 2002. Cytokines come of age. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1592:213–14
25. Mueller TD, Zhang JL, Sebald W, Duschl A. 2002. Structure, binding, and antagonists in the IL-4/IL-13 receptor system. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1592:237–50
26. Heinrich PC, Behrmann I, Haan S, Hermanns HM, Muller-Newen G, Schaper F. 2003. Principles of interleukin (IL)-6-type cytokine signalling and its regulation. *Biochem. J.* 374:1–20
27. Stroud RM, Wells JA. 2004. Mechanistic diversity of cytokine receptor signaling across cell membranes. *Sci. STKE* 2004:re7
28. Smith KA. 1988. Interleukin-2: inception, impact, and implications. *Science* 240:1169–76
29. Kovanen PE, Leonard WJ. 2004. Cytokines and immunodeficiency diseases: critical roles of the  $\gamma_c$ -dependent cytokines interleukins 2, 4, 7, 9, 15, and 21, and their signaling pathways. *Immunol. Rev.* 202:67–83
30. Waldmann TA. 2006. The biology of interleukin-2 and interleukin-15: implications for cancer therapy and vaccine design. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 6:595–601
31. Murray PJ. 2007. The JAK-STAT signaling pathway: input and output integration. *J. Immunol.* 178:2623–29
32. Darnell JE Jr, Kerr IM, Stark GR. 1994. Jak-STAT pathways and transcriptional activation in response to IFNs and other extracellular signaling proteins. *Science* 264:1415–21
33. Ihle JN. 2001. The Stat family in cytokine signaling. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 13:211–17
34. Leonard WJ, O'Shea JJ. 1998. Jaks and STATs: biological implications. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 16:293–322
35. Levy DE, Darnell JE Jr. 2002. Stats: transcriptional control and biological impact. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 3:651–62
36. Thomson AW, Lotzke MT, eds. 2003. *The Cytokine Handbook*, Vol. 1. London/San Diego: Academic. 627 pp.
37. Nicola NA, Hilton DJ. 1998. General classes and functions of four-helix bundle cytokines. *Adv. Protein Chem.* 52:1–65
38. Idriss HT, Naismith JH. 2000. TNF  $\alpha$  and the TNF receptor superfamily: structure-function relationship(s). *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 50:184–95
39. Sun PD, Davies DR. 1995. The cystine-knot growth-factor superfamily. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 24:269–91

40. Murzin AG, Lesk AM, Chothia C. 1992.  $\beta$ -trefoil fold patterns of structure and sequence in the Kunitz inhibitors interleukins-1  $\beta$  and 1 $\alpha$  and fibroblast growth factors. *J. Mol. Biol.* 223:531–43
41. Leonard WJ. 1999. Type I cytokines and interferons and their receptors. In *Fundamental Immunology*, ed. W Paul, pp. 741–74. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven
42. Fitzgerald KA, O'Neill LAJ, Gearing AJH, Callard RE. 2001. *The Cytokine Facts Book*. London/San Diego: Acad. Press
43. Bazan JF. 1990. Haemopoietic receptors and helical cytokines. *Immunol. Today* 11:350–54
44. Cosman D. 1993. The hematopoietin receptor superfamily. *Cytokine* 5:95–106
45. Bazan JF. 1990. Shared architecture of hormone binding domains in type I and II interferon receptors. *Cell* 61:753–54
46. de Vos AM, Ultsch M, Kossiakoff AA. 1992. Human growth hormone and extracellular domain of its receptor: crystal structure of the complex. *Science* 255:306–12
47. Mackiewicz A, Wiznerowicz M, Roeb E, Nowak J, Pawlowski T, et al. 1995. Interleukin-6-type cytokines and their receptors for gene therapy of melanoma. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 762:361–74
48. Yawata H, Yasukawa K, Natsuka S, Murakami M, Yamasaki K, et al. 1993. Structure-function analysis of human IL-6 receptor: dissociation of amino acid residues required for IL-6-binding and for IL-6 signal transduction through gp130. *EMBO J.* 12:1705–12
49. Mosley B, Imus C, Friend D, Boiani N, Thoma B, et al. 1996. Cloning and characterization of an alternate signaling subunit conferring OSM specific receptor activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:32635–43
50. Elson GC, Graber P, Losberger C, Herren S, Gretener D, et al. 1998. Cytokine-like factor-1, a novel soluble protein, shares homology with members of the cytokine type I receptor family. *J. Immunol.* 161:1371–79
51. Sprecher CA, Grant FJ, Baumgartner JW, Presnell SR, Schrader SK, et al. 1998. Cloning and characterization of a novel class I cytokine receptor. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 246:82–90
52. Livnah O, Stura EA, Johnson DL, Middleton SA, Mulcahy LS, et al. 1996. Functional mimicry of a protein hormone by a peptide agonist: the EPO receptor complex at 2.8 Å. *Science* 273:464–71
53. Rickert M, Wang X, Boulanger MJ, Goriatcheva N, Garcia KC. 2005. The structure of interleukin-2 complexed with its  $\alpha$  receptor. *Science* 308:1477–80
54. Lorenzen I, Dingley AJ, Jacques Y, Grotzinger J. 2006. The structure of the interleukin-15  $\alpha$  receptor and its implications for ligand binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:6642–47
55. Sprang SR, Bazan JF. 1993. Cytokine structural taxonomy and mechanisms of receptor engagement. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 3:815–27
56. Milburn MV, Hassell AM, Lambert MH, Jordan SR, Proudfoot AE, et al. 1993. A novel dimer configuration revealed by the crystal structure at 2.4 Å resolution of human interleukin-5. *Nature* 363:172–76
57. Walter MR. 2002. Crystal structures of  $\alpha$ -helical cytokine-receptor complexes: We've only scratched the surface. *Biotechniques* Oct.(Suppl.):46–48, 50–57
58. Schindler C, Levy DE, Decker T. 2007. JAK-STAT signaling: from interferons to cytokines. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:20059–63
59. Dong C, Davis RJ, Flavell RA. 2002. MAP kinases in the immune response. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 20:55–72
60. Cantley LC. 2002. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. *Science* 296:1655–57
61. Kelly-Welch A, Hanson EM, Keegan AD. 2005. Interleukin-4 (IL-4) pathway. *Sci. STKE* 2005:cm9
62. Tamada T, Honjo E, Maeda Y, Okamoto T, Ishibashi M, et al. 2006. Homodimeric cross-over structure of the human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) receptor signaling complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:3135–40
63. Taga T, Kishimoto T. 1997. Gp130 and the interleukin-6 family of cytokines. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 15:797–819
64. Hoischen SH, Vollmer P, Marz P, Ozbek S, Gotze KS, et al. 2000. Human herpes virus 8 interleukin-6 homologue triggers gp130 on neuronal and hematopoietic cells. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 267:3604–12
65. Varghese JN, Moritz RL, Lou MZ, Van Donkelaar A, Ji H, et al. 2002. Structure of the extracellular domains of the human interleukin-6 receptor  $\alpha$ -chain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99:15959–64
66. Elson GC, Lelievre E, Guillet C, Chevalier S, Plun-Favreau H, et al. 2000. CLF associates with CLC to form a functional heteromeric ligand for the CNTF receptor complex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3:867–72

67. Peters M, Roeb E, Pennica D, Meyer zum Buschenfelde KH, Rose-John S. 1995. A new hepatocyte stimulating factor: cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1). *FEBS Lett.* 372:177–80
68. DeChiara TM, Vejsada R, Poueymirou WT, Acheson A, Suri C, et al. 1995. Mice lacking the CNTF receptor, unlike mice lacking CNTF, exhibit profound motor neuron deficits at birth. *Cell* 83:313–22
69. Pflanz S, Hibbert L, Mattson J, Rosales R, Vaisberg E, et al. 2004. WSX-1 and glycoprotein 130 constitute a signal-transducing receptor for IL-27. *J. Immunol.* 172:2225–31
70. Deller MC, Hudson KR, Ikemizu S, Bravo J, Jones EY, Heath JK. 2000. Crystal structure and functional dissection of the cytostatic cytokine oncostatin M. *Struct. Fold Des.* 8:863–74
71. Kurth I, Horsten U, Pflanz S, Dahmen H, Kuster A, et al. 1999. Activation of the signal transducer glycoprotein 130 by both IL-6 and IL-11 requires two distinct binding epitopes. *J. Immunol.* 162:1480–87
72. Skiniotis G, Boulanger MJ, Garcia KC, Walz T. 2005. Signaling conformations of the tall cytokine receptor gp130 when in complex with IL-6 and IL-6 receptor. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12:545–51
73. Matadeen R, Hon WC, Heath JK, Jones EY, Fuller S. 2007. The dynamics of signal triggering in a gp130-receptor complex. *Structure* 15:441–48
74. Aritomi M, Kunishima N, Okamoto T, Kuroki R, Ota Y, Morikawa K. 1999. Atomic structure of the GCSF-receptor complex showing a new cytokine-receptor recognition scheme. *Nature* 401:713–17
75. Layton JE, Hall NE, Connell F, Venhorst J, Treutlein HR. 2001. Identification of ligand-binding site III on the immunoglobulin-like domain of the granulocyte colony-stimulating factor receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:36779–87
76. Skiniotis G, Lupardus PJ, Martick M, Walz T, Garcia KC. 2008. Structural organization of a full-length gp130/LIF-R cytokine receptor transmembrane complex. *Mol. Cell.* 31:737–48
77. Yoon C, Johnston SC, Tang J, Stahl M, Tobin JF, Somers WS. 2000. Charged residues dominate a unique interlocking topography in the heterodimeric cytokine interleukin-12. *EMBO J.* 19:3530–41
78. Oppmann B, Lesley R, Blom B, Timans JC, Xu Y, et al. 2000. Novel p19 protein engages IL-12p40 to form a cytokine, IL-23, with biological activities similar as well as distinct from IL-12. *Immunity* 13:715–25
79. Pflanz S, Timans JC, Cheung J, Rosales R, Kanzler H, et al. 2002. IL-27, a heterodimeric cytokine composed of EBI3 and p28 protein, induces proliferation of naive CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. *Immunity* 16:779–90
80. Presky DH, Yang H, Minetti LJ, Chua AO, Nabavi N, et al. 1996. A functional interleukin 12 receptor complex is composed of two  $\beta$ -type cytokine receptor subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:14002–7
81. Parham C, Chirica M, Timans J, Vaisberg E, Travis M, et al. 2002. A receptor for the heterodimeric cytokine IL-23 is composed of IL-12R $\beta$ 1 and a novel cytokine receptor subunit, IL-23R. *J. Immunol.* 168:5699–708
82. Tavernier J, Devos R, Cornelis S, Tuypens T, Van Der Heyden J, et al. 1991. A human high affinity interleukin-5 receptor (IL5R) is composed of an IL5-specific  $\alpha$  chain and a  $\beta$  chain shared with the receptor for GM-CSF. *Cell* 66:1175–84
83. Bagley CJ, Woodcock JM, Hercus TR, Shannon MF, Lopez AF. 1995. Interaction of GM-CSF and IL-3 with the common  $\beta$ -chain of their receptors. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 57:739–46
84. Nicola NA, Smith A, Robb L, Metcalf D, Begley CG. 1997. The structural basis of the biological actions of the GM-CSF receptor. *Ciba. Found. Symp.* 204:19–32
85. Quelle FW, Sato N, Witthuhn BA, Inhorn RC, Eder M, et al. 1994. JAK2 associates with the  $\beta$ c chain of the receptor for granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and its activation requires the membrane-proximal region. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14:4335–41
86. Carr PD, Gustin SE, Church AP, Murphy JM, Ford SC, et al. 2001. Structure of the complete extracellular domain of the common  $\beta$  subunit of the human GM-CSF, IL-3, and IL-5 receptors reveals a novel dimer configuration. *Cell* 104:291–300
87. Murphy JM, Ford SC, Wiedemann UM, Carr PD, Ollis DL, Young IG. 2003. A novel functional epitope formed by domains 1 and 4 of the human common  $\beta$ -subunit is involved in receptor activation by granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin 5. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:10572–77
88. Puck JM. 1996. IL2RGbase: a database of  $\gamma$ c-chain defects causing human X-SCID. *Immunol. Today* 17:507–11

89. Leonard WJ. 2001. Cytokines and immunodeficiency diseases. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 1:200–8
90. Nelms K, Keegan AD, Zamorano J, Ryan JJ, Paul WE. 1999. The IL-4 receptor: signaling mechanisms and biologic functions. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 17:701–38
91. Andrews AL, Holloway JW, Holgate ST, Davies DE. 2006. IL-4 receptor  $\alpha$  is an important modulator of IL-4 and IL-13 receptor binding: implications for the development of therapeutic targets. *J. Immunol.* 176:7456–61
92. Pandey A, Ozaki K, Baumann H, Levin SD, Puel A, et al. 2000. Cloning of a receptor subunit required for signaling by thymic stromal lymphopoietin. *Nat. Immunol.* 1:59–64
93. Park LS, Martin U, Garka K, Gliniak B, Di Santo JP, et al. 2000. Cloning of the murine thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) receptor: formation of a functional heteromeric complex requires interleukin 7 receptor. *J. Exp. Med.* 192:659–70
94. Minami Y, Kono T, Miyazaki T, Taniguchi T. 1993. The IL-2 receptor complex: its structure, function, and target genes. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 11:245–68
95. Nelson BH, Willerford DM. 1998. Biology of the interleukin-2 receptor. *Adv. Immunol.* 70:1–81
96. Wang HM, Smith KA. 1987. The interleukin 2 receptor. Functional consequences of its bimolecular structure. *J. Exp. Med.* 166:1055–69
97. Rickert M, Boulanger MJ, Goriatcheva N, Garcia KC. 2004. Compensatory energetic mechanisms mediating the assembly of signaling complexes between interleukin-2 and its  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma_c$  receptors. *J. Mol. Biol.* 339:1115–28
98. Liparoto SF, Ciardelli TL. 1999. Biosensor analysis of the interleukin-2 receptor complex. *J. Mol. Recognit.* 12:316–21
99. Nakamura Y, Russell SM, Mess SA, Friedmann M, Erdos M, et al. 1994. Heterodimerization of the IL-2 receptor  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -chain cytoplasmic domains is required for signalling. *Nature* 369:330–33
100. Nelson BH, Lord JD, Greenberg PD. 1994. Cytoplasmic domains of the interleukin-2 receptor  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  chains mediate the signal for T-cell proliferation. *Nature* 369:333–36
101. Nakamura M, Asao H, Takeshita T, Sugamura K. 1993. Interleukin-2 receptor heterotrimer complex and intracellular signaling. *Semin. Immunol.* 5:309–17
102. Takeshita T, Asao H, Ohtani K, Ishii N, Kumaki S, et al. 1992. Cloning of the  $\gamma$  chain of the human IL-2 receptor. *Science* 257:379–82
103. Sana TR, Wu Z, Smith KA, Ciardelli TL. 1994. Expression and ligand binding characterization of the  $\beta$ -subunit (p75) ectodomain of the interleukin-2 receptor. *Biochemistry* 33:5838–45
104. Wu Z, Johnson KW, Goldstein B, Choi Y, Eaton SF, et al. 1995. Solution assembly of a soluble, heteromeric, high affinity interleukin-2 receptor complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:16039–44
105. Wu Z, Johnson KW, Choi Y, Ciardelli TL. 1995. Ligand binding analysis of soluble interleukin-2 receptor complexes by surface plasmon resonance. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:16045–51
106. Wu Z, Goldstein B, Laue TM, Liparoto SF, Nemeth MJ, Ciardelli TL. 1999. Solution assembly of the pseudohigh affinity and intermediate affinity interleukin-2 receptor complexes. *Protein Sci.* 8:482–89
107. Liparoto SF, Myszka DG, Wu Z, Goldstein B, Laue TM, Ciardelli TL. 2002. Analysis of the role of the interleukin-2 receptor  $\gamma$  chain in ligand binding. *Biochemistry* 41:2543–51
108. Aman MJ, Tayebi N, Obiri NI, Puri RK, Modi WS, Leonard WJ. 1996. cDNA cloning and characterization of the human interleukin 13 receptor  $\alpha$  chain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:29265–70
109. Hilton DJ, Zhang JG, Metcalf D, Alexander WS, Nicola NA, Willson TA. 1996. Cloning and characterization of a binding subunit of the interleukin 13 receptor that is also a component of the interleukin 4 receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:497–501
110. Obiri NI, Debinski W, Leonard WJ, Puri RK. 1995. Receptor for interleukin 13. Interaction with interleukin 4 by a mechanism that does not involve the common  $\gamma$  chain shared by receptors for interleukins 2, 4, 7, 9, and 15. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:8797–804
111. Leonard WJ, Depper JM, Crabtree GR, Rudikoff S, Pumphrey J, et al. 1984. Molecular cloning and expression of cDNAs for the human interleukin-2 receptor. *Nature* 311:626–31
112. Nikaido T, Shimizu A, Ishida N, Sabe H, Teshigawara K, et al. 1984. Molecular cloning of cDNA encoding human interleukin-2 receptor. *Nature* 311:631–35
113. Cosman D, Cerretti DP, Larsen A, Park L, March C, et al. 1984. Cloning, sequence and expression of human interleukin-2 receptor. *Nature* 312:768–71

114. Lambert G, Stura EA, Wilson IA. 1989. Crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction studies of a complex between interleukin-2 and a soluble form of the p55 component of the high affinity interleukin-2 receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264:12730-36
115. Uchiyama T, Broder S, Waldmann TA. 1981. A monoclonal antibody (anti-Tac) reactive with activated and functionally mature human T cells. I. Production of anti-Tac monoclonal antibody and distribution of Tac<sup>+</sup> cells. *J. Immunol.* 126:1393-97
116. Uchiyama T, Nelson DL, Fleisher TA, Waldmann TA. 1981. A monoclonal antibody (anti-Tac) reactive with activated and functionally mature human T cells. II. Expression of Tac antigen on activated cytotoxic killer T cells, suppressor cells, and on one of two types of helper T cells. *J. Immunol.* 126:1398-403
117. Wiseman LR, Faulds D. 1999. Daclizumab: a review of its use in the prevention of acute rejection in renal transplant recipients. *Drugs* 58:1029-42
118. Beniaminovitz A, Itescu S, Lietz K, Donovan M, Burke EM, et al. 2000. Prevention of rejection in cardiac transplantation by blockade of the interleukin-2 receptor with a monoclonal antibody. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 342:613-19
119. Eckhoff DE, McGuire B, Sellers M, Contreras J, Frenette L, et al. 2000. The safety and efficacy of a two-dose daclizumab (zenapax) induction therapy in liver transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 69:1867-72
120. Shapiro AM, Lakey JR, Ryan EA, Korbutt GS, Toth E, et al. 2000. Islet transplantation in seven patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus using a glucocorticoid-free immunosuppressive regimen. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 343:230-38
121. Arkin MR, Randal M, DeLano WL, Hyde J, Luong TN, et al. 2003. Binding of small molecules to an adaptive protein-protein interface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:1603-8
122. Thanos CD, DeLano WL, Wells JA. 2006. Hot-spot mimicry of a cytokine receptor by a small molecule. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:15422-27
123. Smith KA, Cantrell DA. 1985. Interleukin 2 regulates its own receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:864-68
124. Arima N, Kamio M, Imada K, Hori T, Hattori T, et al. 1992. Pseudo-high affinity interleukin 2 (IL-2) receptor lacks the third component that is essential for functional IL-2 binding and signaling. *J. Exp. Med.* 176:1265-72
125. Shanafelt AB, Lin Y, Shanafelt MC, Forte CP, Dubois-Stringfellow N, et al. 2000. A T-cell-selective interleukin 2 mutein exhibits potent antitumor activity and is well tolerated in vivo. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 18:1197-202
126. Giri JG, Kumaki S, Ahdieh M, Friend DJ, Loomis A, et al. 1995. Identification and cloning of a novel IL-15 binding protein that is structurally related to the  $\alpha$  chain of the IL-2 receptor. *EMBO J.* 14:3654-63
127. Anderson DM, Kumaki S, Ahdieh M, Bertles J, Tometsko M, et al. 1995. Functional characterization of the human interleukin-15 receptor  $\alpha$  chain and close linkage of *IL15RA* and *IL2RA* genes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:29862-69
128. Giri JG, Ahdieh M, Eisenman J, Shanebeck K, Grabstein K, et al. 1994. Utilization of the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  chains of the IL-2 receptor by the novel cytokine IL-15. *EMBO J.* 13:2822-30
129. Carson WE, Giri JG, Lindemann MJ, Linett ML, Ahdieh M, et al. 1994. Interleukin (IL) 15 is a novel cytokine that activates human natural killer cells via components of the IL-2 receptor. *J. Exp. Med.* 180:1395-403
130. Chirifu M, Hayashi C, Nakamura T, Toma S, Shuto T, et al. 2007. Crystal structure of the IL-15-IL-15R $\alpha$  complex, a cytokine-receptor unit presented in *trans*. *Nat. Immunol.* 8:1001-7
131. Olsen SK, Ota N, Kishishita S, Kukimoto-Niino M, Murayama K, et al. 2007. Crystal structure of the interleukin-15-interleukin-15 receptor  $\alpha$  complex: insights into *trans* and *cis* presentation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:37191-204
132. Hanick NA, Rickert M, Varani L, Bankovich AJ, Cochran JR, et al. 2007. Elucidation of the interleukin-15 binding site on its  $\alpha$  receptor by NMR. *Biochemistry* 46:9453-61
133. Budagian V, Bulanova E, Paus R, Bulfone-Paus S. 2006. IL-15/IL-15 receptor biology: a guided tour through an expanding universe. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 17:259-80
134. Dubois S, Mariner J, Waldmann TA, Tagaya Y. 2002. IL-15R $\alpha$  recycles and presents IL-15 in *trans* to neighboring cells. *Immunity* 17:537-47

135. Vamosi G, Bodnar A, Vereb G, Jenei A, Goldman CK, et al. 2004. IL-2 and IL-15 receptor  $\alpha$ -subunits are coexpressed in a supramolecular receptor cluster in lipid rafts of T cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101:11082–87
136. Hatakeyama M, Tsudo M, Minamoto S, Kono T, Doi T, et al. 1989. Interleukin-2 receptor beta chain gene: generation of three receptor forms by cloned human alpha and beta chain cDNA's. *Science* 244:551–56
137. Mosley B, Beckmann MP, March CJ, Idzerda RL, Gimpel SD, et al. 1989. The murine interleukin-4 receptor: molecular cloning and characterization of secreted and membrane bound forms. *Cell* 59:335–48
138. Eckenberg R, Xu D, Moreau JL, Bossus M, Mazie JC, et al. 1997. Analysis of human IL-2/IL-2 receptor  $\beta$  chain interactions: monoclonal antibody H2-8 and new IL-2 mutants define the critical role of  $\alpha$  helix-A of IL-2. *Cytokine* 9:488–98
139. Hoffman RC, Castner BJ, Gerhart M, Gibson MG, Rasmussen BD, et al. 1995. Direct evidence of a heterotrimeric complex of human interleukin-4 with its receptors. *Protein Sci.* 4:382–86
140. Shen BJ, Hage T, Sebald W. 1996. Global and local determinants for the kinetics of interleukin-4/interleukin-4 receptor  $\alpha$  chain interaction. A biosensor study employing recombinant interleukin-4-binding protein. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 240:252–61
141. Hage T, Sebald W, Reinemer P. 1999. Crystal structure of the interleukin-4/receptor  $\alpha$  chain complex reveals a mosaic binding interface. *Cell* 97:271–81
142. Wang Y, Shen BJ, Sebald W. 1997. A mixed-charge pair in human interleukin 4 dominates high-affinity interaction with the receptor  $\alpha$  chain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94:1657–62
143. Zhang JL, Simeonowa I, Wang Y, Sebald W. 2002. The high-affinity interaction of human IL-4 and the receptor  $\alpha$  chain is constituted by two independent binding clusters. *J. Mol. Biol.* 315:399–407
144. Wodak SJ, Janin J. 2002. Structural basis of macromolecular recognition. *Adv. Protein Chem.* 61:9–73
145. Olosz F, Malek TR. 2002. Structural basis for binding multiple ligands by the common cytokine receptor  $\gamma$ -chain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:12047–52
146. Zhang JL, Buehner M, Sebald W. 2002. Functional epitope of common  $\gamma$  chain for interleukin-4 binding. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269:1490–99
147. Zhang JL, Foster D, Sebald W. 2003. Human IL-21 and IL-4 bind to partially overlapping epitopes of common  $\gamma$ -chain. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 300:291–96
148. Buchli P, Ciardelli T. 1993. Structural and biologic properties of a human aspartic acid-126 interleukin-2 analog. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 307:411–15
149. Boulay JL, O'Shea JJ, Paul WE. 2003. Molecular phylogeny within type I cytokines and their cognate receptors. *Immunity* 19:159–63
150. Arima K, Sato K, Tanaka G, Kanaji S, Terada T, et al. 2005. Characterization of the interaction between interleukin-13 and interleukin-13 receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280:24915–22
151. Lai SY, Xu W, Gaffen SL, Liu KD, Longmore GD, et al. 1996. The molecular role of the common gamma c subunit in signal transduction reveals functional asymmetry within multimeric cytokine receptor complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:231–35
152. Junttila IS, Mizukami K, Dickensheets H, Meier-Schellersheim M, Yamane H, et al. 2008. Tuning sensitivity to IL-4 and IL-13: differential expression of IL-4R $\alpha$ , IL-13R $\alpha$ 1 and  $\gamma$ c regulates relative cytokine sensitivity. *J. Exp. Med.* 205:2595–608
153. Bravo J, Staunton D, Heath JK, Jones EY. 1998. Crystal structure of a cytokine-binding region of gp130. *EMBO J.* 17:1665–74
154. Horsten U, Muller-Newen G, Gerhartz C, Wollmer A, Wijdenes J, et al. 1997. Molecular modeling-guided mutagenesis of the extracellular part of gp130 leads to the identification of contact sites in the interleukin-6 (IL-6)-IL-6 receptor-gp130 complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:23748–57
155. Li H, Nicholas J. 2002. Identification of amino acid residues of gp130 signal transducer and gp80  $\alpha$  receptor subunit that are involved in ligand binding and signaling by human herpesvirus 8-encoded interleukin-6. *J. Virol.* 76:5627–36
156. McFarland BJ, Strong RK. 2003. Thermodynamic analysis of degenerate recognition by the NKG2D immunoreceptor: not induced fit but rigid adaptation. *Immunity* 19:803–12
157. Atwell S, Ultsch M, De Vos AM, Wells JA. 1997. Structural plasticity in a remodeled protein-protein interface. *Science* 278:1125–28

158. Chen X, Vinkemeier U, Zhao Y, Jeruzalmi D, Darnell JE Jr, Kuriyan J. 1998. Crystal structure of a tyrosine phosphorylated STAT-1 dimer bound to DNA. *Cell* 93:827–39
159. Becker S, Groner B, Muller CW. 1998. Three-dimensional structure of the Stat3 $\beta$  homodimer bound to DNA. *Nature* 394:145–51
160. Mao X, Ren Z, Parker GN, Sondermann H, Pastorello MA, et al. 2005. Structural bases of unphosphorylated STAT1 association and receptor binding. *Mol. Cell* 17:761–71
161. Boggon TJ, Li Y, Manley PW, Eck MJ. 2005. Crystal structure of the Jak3 kinase domain in complex with a staurosporine analog. *Blood* 106:996–1002
162. Lucet IS, Fantino E, Styles M, Bamert R, Patel O, et al. 2006. The structural basis of Janus kinase 2 inhibition by a potent and specific pan-Janus kinase inhibitor. *Blood* 107:176–83
163. McDonald NQ, Panayotatos N, Hendrickson WA. 1995. Crystal structure of dimeric human ciliary neurotrophic factor determined by MAD phasing. *EMBO J.* 14:2689–99
164. Chow D, Ho J, Nguyen Pham TL, Rose-John S, Garcia KC. 2001. In vitro reconstitution of recognition and activation complexes between interleukin-6 and gp130. *Biochemistry* 40:7593–603



# Contents

Frontispiece <i>Marc Feldmann</i> .....	x
Translating Molecular Insights in Autoimmunity into Effective Therapy <i>Marc Feldmann</i> .....	1
Structural Biology of Shared Cytokine Receptors <i>Xinquan Wang, Patrick Lupardus, Sherry L. LaPorte, and K. Christopher Garcia</i> .....	29
Immunity to Respiratory Viruses <i>Jacob E. Kohlmeier and David L. Woodland</i> .....	61
Immune Therapy for Cancer <i>Michael Dougan and Glenn Dranoff</i> .....	83
Microglial Physiology: Unique Stimuli, Specialized Responses <i>Richard M. Ransohoff and V. Hugh Perry</i> .....	119
The Liver as a Lymphoid Organ <i>Ian Nicholas Crispe</i> .....	147
Immune and Inflammatory Mechanisms of Atherosclerosis <i>Elena Galkina and Klaus Ley</i> .....	165
Primary B Cell Immunodeficiencies: Comparisons and Contrasts <i>Mary Ellen Conley, A. Kerry Dobbs, Dana M. Farmer, Sebnem Kilitic, Kenneth Paris, Sofia Grigoriadou, Elaine Coustan-Smith, Vanessa Howard, and Dario Campana</i> .....	199
The Inflammasomes: Guardians of the Body <i>Fabio Martinon, Annick Mayor, and Jürg Tschopp</i> .....	229
Human Marginal Zone B Cells <i>Jean-Claude Weill, Sandra Weller, and Claude-Agnès Reynaud</i> .....	267

Aire	
<i>Diane Mathis and Christophe Benoist</i> .....	287
Regulatory Lymphocytes and Intestinal Inflammation	
<i>Ana Izcue, Janine L. Coombes, and Fiona Powrie</i> .....	313
The Ins and Outs of Leukocyte Integrin Signaling	
<i>Clare L. Abram and Clifford A. Lowell</i> .....	339
Recent Advances in the Genetics of Autoimmune Disease	
<i>Peter K. Gregersen and Lina M. Olsson</i> .....	363
Cell-Mediated Immune Responses in Tuberculosis	
<i>Andrea M. Cooper</i> .....	393
Enhancing Immunity Through Autophagy	
<i>Christian Münz</i> .....	423
Alternative Activation of Macrophages: An Immunologic Functional Perspective	
<i>Fernando O. Martinez, Laura Helming, and Siamon Gordon</i> .....	451
IL-17 and Th17 Cells	
<i>Thomas Korn, Estelle Bettelli, Mohamed Oukka, and Vijay K. Kuchroo</i> .....	485
Immunological and Inflammatory Functions of the Interleukin-1 Family	
<i>Charles A. Dinarello</i> .....	519
Regulatory T Cells in the Control of Host-Microorganism Interactions	
<i>Yasmine Belkaid and Kristin Tarbell</i> .....	551
T Cell Activation	
<i>Jennifer E. Smith-Garvin, Gary A. Koretzky, and Martha S. Jordan</i> .....	591
<i>Horror Autoinflammaticus</i> : The Molecular Pathophysiology of Autoinflammatory Disease	
<i>Seth L. Masters, Anna Simon, Ivona Aksentijevich, and Daniel L. Kastner</i> .....	621
Blood Monocytes: Development, Heterogeneity, and Relationship with Dendritic Cells	
<i>Cedric Auffray, Michael H. Sieweke, and Frederic Geissmann</i> .....	669
Regulation and Function of NF- $\kappa$ B Transcription Factors in the Immune System	
<i>Sivakumar Vallabhapurapu and Michael Karin</i> .....	693